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## 1 Overview

A variety of lumped-element LC lowpass filter families are considered in this monograph including

- Butterworth
- Chebyshev
- Inverse Chebyshev
- Gaussian to 6 dB and 12 dB , including adjustable Gaussian
- Bessel
- Linear Phase to $0.5^{\circ}$ and $0.05^{\circ}$
- Transitional Filters
- Elliptical

Detailed design information is developed for each filter type. The balance of this section looks at several filter design fundamentals.

### 1.1 General Approach

The approach followed herein begins with posing the lowpass filter design problem initially as an approximation problem based upon filter poles and zeros in the complex plane. The poles and zeros may correspond to a classical filter type like those listed in the previous section or may stem from manual efforts to meet requirements posed in terms of the desired attenuation characteristic and or the filters' group delay characteristics.

Once the approximation problem solution has been obtained in terms of poles and zeros, the synthesis step may begin. While closed-form network solutions exist for a number of the filter types listed earlier, in general these are only available for equally-terminated filters or unloaded filters. On occasion it is advantageous to have a design approach that is easily amendable to the general unequally-terminated filter case. Although polynomial calculations can be done in the spirit of Darlington's approach to filter synthesis, an iterative numerical method ${ }^{1}$ is used here because of its general applicability as well as the ease with which it accommodates redundant circuit elements.

The remainder of this section revisits lossless filter theory in the context of using $A B C D$ matrix descriptions. This information is crucial for the polynomial-based filter design method of Darlington, but is not required to use the iterative synthesis approach. It is nevertheless included here for completeness.

This section concludes with a brief look at the pole-zero formulation and the impact of differing load resistances upon the Darlington synthesis method.

### 1.2 Lossless Two-Port Filter Design

Consider the linear two-port network in Figure 1 represented by its $A B C D$ matrix description as [15]

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{1}  \tag{2.1}\\
I_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{2} \\
I_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

[^0]The transducer gain function $|T(s)|^{2}$ is defined as the ratio of the maximum power available from the generator to the actual power delivered to the load $R_{2}$ in Figure 1. As such, the maximum power available from the source is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {Avail }}=\frac{1}{R_{1}}\left(\frac{E}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{E^{2}}{4 R_{1}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the amplitude of the applied input signal which is taken to be $E \exp (j \omega t)$. Similarly, the power delivered to the load $R_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {Load }}=\frac{\left|V_{2}\right|^{2}}{R_{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T(s)|^{2}=\frac{E^{2}}{4 R_{1}} \frac{R_{2}}{\left|V_{2}\right|^{2}}=\frac{R_{2}}{4 R_{1}}\left|\frac{E}{V_{2}}\right|^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)=\frac{E}{2 V_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure $1 A B C D$ network description
For a purely reactive network, $A$ and $D$ must be even functions of $s$ while $B$ and $C$ must be odd functions of $s$. From (2.1),

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
I_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & -B \\
-C & A
\end{array}\right]}{A D-B C}
$$

For a reciprocal network ${ }^{2}$, the determinant of the ABCD matrix $(A D-B C)$ must be unity.
From Figure 1, it is clear that

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{2}=I_{2} R_{2}  \tag{2.7}\\
E=I_{1} R_{1}+V_{1} \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

from which (2.5) can be re-expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)=\frac{\left(A R_{2}+D R_{1}\right)+\left(B+C R_{1} R_{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based upon earlier remarks, $T(s)$ can be broken into distinct even and odd portions as

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{e}(s)=\frac{A R_{2}+D R_{1}}{2 \sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}} \\
& T_{o}(s)=\frac{B+C R_{1} R_{2}}{2 \sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

In the context of the lossless network [14] shown in Figure 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T|^{2}=\frac{P_{\text {avail }}}{P_{d e l}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic function $K(s)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s)=\rho_{1}(s) T(s) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{1}(s)$ is the reflection coefficient as viewed from port 1 . For $s=j \omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|K|^{2}=\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{2}|T|^{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2 Power flow available, delivered to the load, and reflected back to the source from the lossless network

Substituting (2.11) into (2.13) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
|K|^{2}=\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{P_{\text {avail }}}{P_{d e l}}=\frac{P_{r e f l}}{P_{d e l}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+|K|^{2}=1+\frac{P_{r e f l}}{P_{\text {del }}}=\frac{P_{\text {del }}+P_{\text {refl }}}{P_{\text {del }}}=\frac{P_{\text {avail }}}{P_{\text {del }}}=|T|^{2}  \tag{2.15}\\
& \therefore 1+|K|^{2}=|T|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equation is known as the famous Feldtkeller equation which is a statement of energy conservation for the lossless network (i.e., power must be either reflected back to the source or delivered to the load).

Now making use of (2.10) in (2.15),

$$
\begin{align*}
|T|^{2}=\left|T_{e}+T_{o}\right|^{2}= & {\left[T_{e}+T_{o}\right]\left[T_{e}+T_{o}^{*}\right] } \\
& =\left[T_{e}+T_{o}\right]\left[T_{e}-T_{o}\right] \\
& =T_{e}^{2}-T_{o}^{2}  \tag{2.16}\\
& =\frac{\left(A R_{2}+D R_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(B+C R_{1} R_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Starting from (2.16), making use of (2.15) and the reciprocal network requirement $A D-B C=1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
|T|^{2}=\frac{A^{2} R_{2}^{2}+2 A D R_{1} R_{2}+D^{2} R_{1}^{2}-B^{2}-2 B C R_{1} R_{2}-C^{2} R_{1}^{2} R_{2}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}  \tag{2.17}\\
\frac{4 A D R_{1} R_{2}-4 B C R_{1} R_{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}=1 \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Subtracting (2.18) from (2.17) produces

$$
\begin{align*}
|T|^{2}-1= & \frac{A^{2} R_{2}^{2}+2 A D R_{1} R_{2}+D^{2} R_{1}^{2}-B^{2}-2 B C R_{1} R_{2}-C^{2} R_{1}^{2} R_{2}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{4 A D R_{1} R_{2}-4 B C R_{1} R_{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}} \\
& =\frac{A^{2} R_{2}^{2}-2 A D R_{1} R_{2}+D^{2} R_{1}^{2}-B^{2}+2 B C R_{1} R_{2}-C^{2} R_{1}^{2} R_{2}^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}  \tag{2.19}\\
& =\frac{\left(A R_{2}-D R_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(B-C R_{1} R_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 R_{1} R_{2}}=|K|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly then, the characteristic equation can be broken into its even and odd portions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{e}(s)=\frac{A R_{2}-D R_{1}}{2 \sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}} \quad K_{o}(s)=\frac{B-C R_{1} R_{2}}{2 \sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.10) and (2.20), it is also true that

$$
\begin{align*}
A=2 \sqrt{\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}}\left(T_{e}+K_{e}\right) & B=\sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}\left(T_{o}+K_{o}\right) \\
C=\frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}}\left(T_{o}-K_{o}\right) & D=2 \sqrt{\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}}\left(T_{e}-K_{e}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Many other relationships exist between the $A B C D$, admittance, and impedance parameters associated with the lossless network ${ }^{3}$. In the case of admittance parameters, for example,

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{11}=\frac{1}{R_{1}} \frac{T_{e}+K_{e}}{T_{o}-K_{o}} \\
& y_{12}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}} \frac{1}{T_{o}-K_{o}}  \tag{2.22}\\
& y_{22}=\frac{1}{R_{2}} \frac{T_{e}-K_{e}}{T_{o}-K_{o}}
\end{align*}
$$

It will prove useful to have voltage-gain relationships in terms of the $A B C D$ matrix for the iterative filter synthesis step. These details are left to $\S 11$.

[^2]
### 1.3 Poles and Zeros

The transducer gain function can be expressed in terms of its poles and zeros as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)=t_{0} \frac{\prod_{n}\left(s-t_{n}\right)}{\prod_{m}\left(s-p_{m}\right)}=\frac{E(s)}{P(s)} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the zeros are represented by the $t_{n}$, the poles are represented by $p_{m}$, and $t_{0}$ is a real constant of proportionality. From the latter portion of (2.15), the characteristic function can be written in a similar manner as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s)=s_{0} \frac{\prod_{n}\left(s-s_{n}\right)}{\prod_{m}\left(s-p_{m}\right)}=\frac{F(s)}{P(s)} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K(s)$ and $T(s)$ must clearly have the same poles based upon (2.23) and (2.24). The $t_{n}$ and $s_{n}$ values must be real or conjugate imaginary pairs and lie in the left-half plane. The $p_{m}$ are conjugate pairs and purely imaginary for a ladder-type filter.

Based upon $|T(s)|^{2}=1+|K(s)|^{2}$ given earlier in (2.15), these last two equations make it possible to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(s) E(-s)=P(s) P(-s)+F(s) F(-s) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\rho_{1}\right|^{2}=\left|\frac{Z_{\text {in }}-R_{\text {source }}}{Z_{\text {in }}+R_{\text {source }}}\right|^{2}=\left|\frac{K}{T}\right|^{2}=\frac{F(s) F(-s)}{E(s) E(-s)} \\
& \rho_{1}=\frac{Z_{\text {in }}-R_{\text {source }}}{Z_{\text {in }}+R_{\text {source }}}=\frac{F(s)}{E(s)} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, it easily follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\text {in }}=R_{\text {source }} \frac{E(s)+F(s)}{E(s)-F(s)} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This driving point impedance can be used to synthesize the elliptic filter in terms of its constituent capacitor and inductor values.

When negative elements must be avoided, it is necessary to introduce additional attenuation poles at zero, infinity, or both [16].

Some prefer to initiate a design based upon the characteristic function $K(s)$ because there are almost no restrictions on the placement of its zeros. This allows the zeros to be placed in the passband region thereby making the passband response rather insensitive to element variations [16].

### 1.4 Arbitrary Load Impedance

In the context of Figure 1, the maximum power which can be delivered to the load is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {avail }}=\left(\frac{E}{R_{1}+R_{2}}\right)^{2} R_{2} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general case where the load is replaced by a general impedance $z=a+j b$ with $E \equiv 1$ and $R_{1}=1$, the power delivered is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d e l}=i_{2}^{2} a=\frac{a}{(1+a)^{2}+b^{2}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P_{\text {avail }}=1 / 4$. Continuing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{\text {del }}}{P_{\text {avail }}}=\frac{4 a}{(1+a)^{2}+b^{2}} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the reflection coefficient $\Gamma$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\frac{z-1}{z+1}=\frac{a+j b-1}{a+j b+1} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{\text {del }}}{P_{\text {avail }}}=1-|\Gamma|^{2} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing in this vein but with $R_{2} \neq R_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {avail }}=\frac{4 R_{2}}{\left(1+R_{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

resulting in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{d e l}}{P_{\text {avail }}}=\frac{\left(1+R_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 R_{2}}\left(1-|\Gamma|^{2}\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this case then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{d e l}}{P_{\text {avail }}}=\frac{1}{1+K(s) K(-s)}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{F(s) F(-s)}{P(s) P(-s)}}=\frac{\left(1+R_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 R_{2}}\left(1-|\Gamma|^{2}\right) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads directly to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P(s) P(-s)\left[1-\frac{4 R_{2}}{\left(1+R_{2}\right)^{2}}\right]+F(s) F(-s)}{P(s) P(-s)+F(s) F(-s)}=|\Gamma(s)|^{2}=\frac{M(s) M(-s)}{E(s) E(-s)} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a new polynomial $M(s)$ emerges. Following the same path as used with (2.26) and (2.27), (2.36) leads to a driving point impedance function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i n}=\frac{E(s)-M(s)}{E(s)+M(s)} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function-zeros of $E(s), F(s)$, and $P(s)$ were computed earlier. When $R_{2} \neq R_{1}$, the zeros of $F($ $s$ ) are effectively perturbed in (2.27) as given by the numerator portion of (2.36).

## 2 Butterworth Lowpass Filters

The Laplace domain voltage transfer function for a filter can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{V_{\text {out }}(s)}{V_{\text {in }}(s)}=\frac{N(s)}{D(s)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N()$ and $D()$ are polynomials in the complex frequency variable $s=\sigma+j \omega$. The attenuation characteristic of the filter (in dB) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\omega)=10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{1}{|H(\omega)|^{2}}\right]=10 \log _{10}\left[L\left(\omega^{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Butterworth filter is the most simple lowpass filter approximation to an ideal lowpass characteristic. It is frequently referred to as a maximally-flat filter because the attenuation characteristic has all of its derivatives with respect to $\omega$ equal to zero at DC. Writing the loss function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\omega^{2}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} B_{k} \omega^{2 k} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

setting $L(0)=1$, and requiring all of the derivatives of $L\left(\omega^{2}\right)$ to be zero at DC requires all of the $B_{k}$ to be zero except for the highest-order term. Consequently ${ }^{4}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {Butterworth }}\left(\omega^{2}\right)=1+\omega^{2 N} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions to (3.4) are given by the $2 N$ roots of unity as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}^{2 N}=-1=[\exp (-j \pi+j 2 \pi k)] \text { for arbitrary integer } k \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=\exp \left[j \frac{\pi(2 k-1)}{2 N}+j \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \text { for } k \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poles must all fall within the left-half portion of the $s$-plane which requires that $n \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. (The additional $\mathrm{j} \pi / 2$ is convenient to keep the index range for $n$ as given.) Butterworth poles for even- and odd-order cases ${ }^{5}$ are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

The voltage transfer function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(\frac{-p_{k}}{s-p_{k}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]If the passband loss at frequency $f_{\text {pass }}$ is given by $A_{\text {pass }}(\mathrm{dB})$ and the minimum stopband loss at $f_{\text {stop }}$ is required to be $A_{\text {stop }}(\mathrm{dB})$, the minimum order for the Butterworth filter is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\min } \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log _{10}\left(\frac{10^{A_{\text {stop }} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1}\right)}{\log _{10}\left(\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}\right)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straight forward to show that the group delay for any all-pole filter like the Butterworth filter is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g}(\omega)=-\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[\frac{\sigma_{k}}{\sigma_{k}^{2}+\left(\omega-\omega_{k}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Group delay can also be calculated using Hilbert transforms as discussed later in §14.


Figure 3 Normalized Butterworth poles for $\mathrm{N}=8$


Figure 4 Normalized Butterworth poles for $N=7$

Attenuation nomographs for the Butterworth lowpass filter family are provided in Figure 5 and Figure 6.


Figure 5 Butterworth filter attenuation versus normalized frequency and order ${ }^{6}$


Figure 6 Butterworth filter nomograph for filter order versus stopband attenuation requirement ${ }^{7}$
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Figure 7 Butterworth filter group delay ${ }^{8}$


Figure 8 Radian frequency of peak group delay in Figure 7 versus Butterworth filter order ${ }^{9}$

[^5]

Figure 9 Peak group delay versus order for Butterworth filters
The group delay characteristics for normalized Butterworth filters are shown in Figure 7 through Figure 10. The frequency at which the maximum group delay occurs asymptotically approaches $1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ as shown in Figure 8, with the corresponding peak-delay value as shown in Figure 9. The peak-delay value is closely approximated by the $2^{\text {nd }}$-order approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\max }(N)=-0.3692+0.9529 N+0.0316 N^{2} \mathrm{sec} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the Butterworth filter group delay at DC is closely approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{D C}(N)=0.1303+0.6245 N \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Butterworth filter time-domain impulse response can be found directly from knowledge of the pole locations given by (3.6). The residue method is particularly easy to employ for all-pole filters like the Butterworth family because none of the poles are repeated and there are no transmission zeros. Once the transfer function (3.7) has been expanded into a sum of partial fractions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(f)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} C_{k} \exp \left(\sigma_{k} t\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{k} t\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the poles $p_{k}=\sigma_{k}+j \omega_{k}$ and the $C_{k}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}=-\left.p_{k} \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{N}\left(\frac{-p_{n}}{s-p_{n}}\right)\right|_{s=p_{k}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6]

Figure 10 Group delay at DC for normalized Butterworth lowpass filters versus filter order
the corresponding time-domain response is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} C_{k} \exp \left(p_{k} t\right) \text { for } t \geq 0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since complex poles must appear in conjugate pairs, (3.14) can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{m} g_{m}(t) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{m}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\sigma_{m} t}\left[2 a_{m} \cos \left(\omega_{m} t\right)-2 b_{m} \sin \left(\omega_{m} t\right)\right] & \text { for complex pole, } \omega_{m}>0  \tag{3.16}\\
a_{m} e^{\sigma_{m} t} & \text { for real pole }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $C_{m}=a_{m}+j b_{m}, p_{k}=\sigma_{k}+j \omega_{k}$, and $m \in\left\{\right.$ poles with positive or zero values for $\left.\omega_{k}\right\}$. Impulse responses for the first seven Butterworth order lowpass filters are provided in Table 1 and shown graphically in Figure 11.

Table 1 Butterworth (Normalized) Filter Impulse Responses ${ }^{10}$

| Filter <br> Order, $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\quad$ Impulse Response |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $e^{-t}$ |
| 2 | $1.4142 e^{-0.7071 t} \sin (0.7071 t)$ |
| 3 | $e^{-t}-e^{-t / 2} \cos (0.86603 t)+0.57735 e^{-t / 2} \sin (0.86603 t)$ |
| 4 | $0.92388 e^{-0.92388 t} \cos (1.1152 t)-2.2304 e^{-0.92388 t} \sin (1.1152 t)$ |
|  | $-0.92388 e^{-0.38268 t} \cos (0.19134 t)-0.38268 e^{-0.38268 t} \sin (0.19134 t)$ |
| 5 | $1.8944 e^{-t}-0.27639 e^{-0.30902 t} \cos (0.95106 t)-0.85065 e^{-0.30902 t} \sin (0.95106 t)$ |
|  | $-1.618 e^{-0.80902 t} \cos (0.58779 t)+2.227 e^{-0.80902 t} \sin (0.58779 t)$ |
| 6 | $0.40825 e^{-0.25882 t} \cos (0.96593 t)-0.70711 e^{-0.25882 t} \sin (0.96593 t)$ |
|  | $-3.0472 e^{-0.7071 t t} \cos (0.70711 t)+2.639 e^{-0.96593 t} \cos (0.25882 t)$ |
| $4.5708 e^{-0.96593 t} \sin (0.25882 t)$ |  |
| 7 | $4.3119 e^{-t}+0.73698 e^{-0.22252 t} \cos (0.97493 t)-0.16821 e^{-0.22252 t t} \sin (0.97493 t)$ |
|  | $-2.065 e^{-0.02349 t} \cos (0.78183 t)-2.5894 e^{-0.62349 t} \sin (0.78183 t)$ |
|  | $-2.984 e^{-0.90097 t} \cos (0.43388 t)+6.1962 e^{-0.90097 t} \sin (0.43388 t)$ |



Figure 11 Butterworth impulse responses corresponding to Table 1
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### 2.1 Design of Passive LC Butterworth Lowpass Filters

Butterworth as well as other all-pole passive LC filters can be efficiently implemented using the ladder structure shown in Figure 12. The ladder structure has been shown to exhibit minimum sensitivity to component variations and is therefore widely used. In this normalized form, the source resistance $G_{0}$ is always taken to be 1.0 whereas the load resistance $R_{L}=G_{N+1}$ can be equal to or less than 1.0 as given in the figure.


Figure 12 Lowpass ladder network
The prototype Butterworth filter design formula are given below [1]. Several design examples are provided here to facilitate computer program verification in §2.1.1 and §2.1.2.

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{t}=\frac{4 R_{L}}{\left(1+R_{L}\right)^{2}}  \tag{3.17}\\
\gamma=1  \tag{3.18}\\
d=\left(1-A_{t}\right)^{1 /(2 N)}  \tag{3.19}\\
b_{k}=\gamma^{2}+d^{2}-2 \gamma d \cos \left(\frac{k \pi}{N}\right) \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, N  \tag{3.20}\\
a_{k}=\sin \left[\frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 N}\right] \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, N  \tag{3.21}\\
G_{1}=\frac{2 a_{1}}{\gamma-d}  \tag{3.22}\\
G_{k}=\frac{4 a_{k} a_{k-1}}{b_{k-1} G_{k-1}} \text { for } k=2,3, \ldots, N \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.1.1 Scenario \#1: Equally Terminated

| U18214 Tabulated LPF Prototype Design |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butterworth Lowpass Filter Design |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Rs}=1.0$ | $\mathrm{RI}=$ | 1 |
| Order= | 5 | (<= 12) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At= | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma= | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| d= | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| k= | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.0000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bk= | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| ak= | 0.3090 | 0.8090 | 1.0000 | 0.8090 | 0.3090 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gk= | 0.6180 | 1.6180 | 2.0000 | 1.6180 | 0.6180 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 13 Calculation details for $5^{\text {th }}$-order equally-terminated Butterworth lowpass filter


Figure 14 Calculation details for $8^{\text {th }}$-order equally-terminated Butterworth lowpass filter

### 2.1.2 Scenario \#2: Unequally Terminated

| U18214 Tabulated LPF Prototype Design |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{RI}=$ | 0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butterworth Lowpass Filter Design |  |  |  | Rs= 1.0 |  |  |
| Order= | 5 | (<= 12) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At= | 0.888888889 |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma= | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{d}=$ | 0.802741562 |  |  |  |  |  |
| k= | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.0000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{bk}=$ | 0.3455 | 1.1483 | 2.1405 | 2.9433 | 3.2499 |  |
| $\mathrm{ak}=$ | 0.3090 | 0.8090 | 1.0000 | 0.8090 | 0.3090 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gk= | 3.1331 | 0.9237 | 3.0510 | 0.4955 | 0.6857 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 15 Calculation details for $4^{\text {th }}$-order unequally-terminated Butterworth lowpass filter

| U18214 Tabulated LPF Prototype Design |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butterworth Lowpass Filter Design |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Rs}=1.0$ | $\mathrm{RI}=$ | 0.5 |  |  |
| Order= | 8 | (<= 12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At= | 0.888888889 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma= | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d= | 0.871685543 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k= | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.0000 | 6.0000 | 7.0000 | 8.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bk= | 0.1492 | 0.5271 | 1.0927 | 1.7598 | 2.4270 | 2.9926 | 3.3705 | 3.5032 |
| $\mathrm{ak}=$ | 0.1951 | 0.5556 | 0.8315 | 0.9808 | 0.9808 | 0.8315 | 0.5556 | 0.1951 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gk= | 3.0408 | 0.9558 | 3.6678 | 0.8139 | 2.6863 | 0.5003 | 1.2341 | 0.1042 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 16 Calculation details for $8^{\text {th }}$-order unequally-terminated Butterworth lowpass filter


Figure 17 LPF designer appearance for Butterworth case

## 3 Chebyshev Lowpass Filters

An insightful derivation of the Chebyshev filter approximation is given in [8] and [10] as briefly outlined here. The filter loss is again given by (3.2) but with $L\left(\omega^{2}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\omega^{2}\right)=1+\varepsilon^{2} F^{2}(\omega) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2}=10^{A_{p a s s} / 10}-1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $4^{\text {th }}$-order normalized lowpass filter attenuation characteristic shown in Figure 18 facilitates the derivation greatly.

Chebyshev filters are specifically designed to exhibit equal-ripple attenuation in their passband region as shown in Figure 18 and this imposes several simple requirements on the behavior of $F(\omega)$ and $L(\omega)$ as follows:

Requirement \#1: $F(\omega)=0$ at radian frequencies $\pm \Psi_{1}$ and $\pm \Psi_{3}$
Requirement \#2: $F^{2}(\omega)=1$ at radian frequencies $0, \pm \Psi_{2}, \pm 1$
Requirement \#3: $d L\left(\omega^{2}\right) / d \omega=0$ at radian frequencies $0, \pm \Psi_{1}, \pm \Psi_{2}, \pm \Psi_{3}$
All of the $\Psi_{k}$ will temporarily be assumed to be unknown.


Figure 18 Example passband attenuation characteristic for a normalized $N=4$ Chebyshev lowpass filter having a passband ripple of 0.1 dB . Filter order is easily identified by noting the number of zero-crossings which occur between the attenuation characteristic and an auxiliary line drawn at one-half of the ripple magnitude as shown.

Requirement \#1 dictates that $F(\omega)$ be a polynomial given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=M_{1}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}$ is a constant to be determined. From Requirement \#2

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-F^{2}(\omega)=M_{2} \omega\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega^{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Requirement \#3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d \omega}=\frac{d}{d \omega}\left[1+\varepsilon^{2} F^{2}(\omega)\right]=\varepsilon^{2} 2 F(\omega) \frac{d F}{d \omega} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Requirement \#1, $F(\omega)$ must have zeros at $\pm \Psi_{1}$ and $\pm \Psi_{3}$ whereas $d L / d \omega$ is required to have additional zeros at 0 and $\pm \Psi_{2}$ which implies that $d F / d \omega$ must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d F}{d \omega}=M_{3} \omega\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order for these latter zeros to survive the derivative with respect to $\omega$ in $F(\omega)$, these zeros must, however, be double-roots in $F(\omega)$ which means that (4.4) must be modified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-F^{2}(\omega)=M_{2} \omega^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\omega^{2}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-F^{2}(\omega)}{1-\omega^{2}}=M_{2} \omega^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the factors in (4.8) with those in (4.6) makes it possible to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-F^{2}(\omega)}{1-\omega^{2}}=M_{4}\left(\frac{d F}{d \omega}\right)^{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying a square-root and separation of variables to (4.9) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{5} \frac{d F}{\sqrt{1-F^{2}}}=\frac{d \omega}{\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in turn can be written in terms of definite integrals as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{5} \int_{0}^{F} \frac{d F}{\sqrt{1-F^{2}}}+M_{6}=\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{d \omega}{\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the substitution $u=\cos (\theta)$ in (4.11) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{5} \cos ^{-1}(F)+M_{6}=\cos ^{-1}(\omega) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F(\omega)\right|_{\omega=\cos (\theta)}=\cos \left(\frac{\theta-M_{6}}{M_{5}}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and only the constants remain to be identified. From Requirement \#2, $F(\omega)=1$ for $\omega=1$ which corresponds to $\theta=0$ thereby dictating that $M_{6} \equiv 0$. Similarly, the value of $F$ for $\theta=\pi / 2$ dictates that $M_{5} \equiv$ 1 / 4 thereby leading to the final result

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=\cos \left[4 \cos ^{-1}(\omega)\right] \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result can be generalized for an $N^{\text {th }}$-order Chebyshev filter as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}(\omega)=\cos \left[N \cos ^{-1}(\omega)\right] \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result (4.14) can be expanded in terms of $\cos (\theta)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=1-8 \omega^{2}+8 \omega^{4} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand side of $(4.16)$ corresponds to the $4^{\text {th }}$-order Chebyshev polynomial represented by $T_{4}$ ( $\omega$ ). The first several Chebyshev polynomials along with their simple recursive construction formula are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{0}(\omega)=1 \\
& T_{1}(\omega)=\omega \\
& T_{2}(\omega)=2 \omega^{2}-1 \\
& T_{3}(\omega)=4 \omega^{3}-3 \omega  \tag{4.17}\\
& T_{4}(\omega)=8 \omega^{4}-8 \omega^{2}+1 \\
& T_{n+1}(\omega)=2 \omega T_{n}(\omega)-T_{n-1}(\omega)
\end{align*}
$$

The first few Chebyshev polynomials are plotted in Figure 19 for illustrative purposes.

### 3.1 Required Chebyshev Filter Order

If the passband ripple up to frequency $f_{\text {pass }}$ is given by $A_{\text {pass }}(\mathrm{dB})$ and the minimum stopband loss at $f_{\text {stop }}$ is required to be $A_{\text {stop }}(\mathrm{dB})$, the minimum order for the Chebyshev filter is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \geq \frac{\cosh ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{10^{A_{\text {stop }} / 10}}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1}}\right)}{\cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}\right)} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a convenient relationship for $\cosh ^{-1}(x)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh ^{-1}(x)=\log _{e}\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 19 Chebyshev polynomials ${ }^{11} 1^{\text {st }}$ through $6{ }^{\text {th }}$-order

### 3.2 Chebyshev Pole Locations

The $N^{\text {th }}$-order Chebyshev filter loss function can be rewritten using (4.1) while incorporating (4.15) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N}(\omega)=1+\varepsilon^{2}\left\{\cos \left[N \cos ^{-1}(\omega)\right]\right\}^{2} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta=\cos ^{-1}(\omega)  \tag{4.21}\\
& y=\exp (j \theta)
\end{align*}
$$

permits (4.20) to be re-written as

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{N}(\theta) & =1+\varepsilon^{2} \cos ^{2}(N \theta)=1+\varepsilon^{2}\left(\frac{e^{j N \theta}+e^{-j N \theta}}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =1+\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(e^{j \theta}\right)^{N}+\left(\frac{1}{e^{j \theta}}\right)^{N}\right]^{2} \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

which then leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N}(y)=1+\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}\left[y^{N}+\frac{1}{y^{N}}\right]^{2} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form is convenient for discussing elliptic lowpass filters as well as deriving the pole locations for Chebyshev filters. The roots which satisfy (4.23) can be found by solving
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$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4}\left(y^{N}+\frac{1}{y^{N}}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(y^{N}+\frac{1}{y^{N}}\right)^{2}=-\frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \\
& y^{N}+\frac{1}{y^{N}}= \pm j \frac{2}{\varepsilon}  \tag{4.25}\\
& y^{2 N} \mp j \frac{2}{\varepsilon} y^{N}+1=0 \quad\left(\text { a quadratic in } y^{N}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the quadratic leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{N}= \pm j \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \pm j \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions to (4.26) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=r \exp \left[j \frac{\pi}{N}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \text { for integers } \mathrm{n} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. The magnitude of the roots given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y|=r=\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}}\right)^{1 / N} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.21), $\cos \left(\theta_{k}\right)=\omega_{k}$ the s-plane poles follow by noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(\theta_{k}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(j \theta_{k}\right)+\exp \left(-j \theta_{k}\right)}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{k}+\frac{1}{y_{k}}\right)=\omega_{k}=\frac{s_{k}}{j} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}=\frac{j}{2}\left(y_{k}+\frac{1}{y_{k}}\right) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not obvious in this form, however, that the Chebyshev poles lie on an ellipse in the complex $s$-plane. Returning to (4.20), the poles $s_{k}$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left[N \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{s_{k}}{j}\right)\right]= \pm \frac{j}{\varepsilon} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s_{k}=\sigma_{k}+j \omega_{k}$ in (4.31) and note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{k}+j \omega_{k}}{j}\right)=\cos ^{-1}\left(\omega_{k}-j \sigma_{k}\right)=u+j v  \tag{4.32}\\
& \omega_{k}-j \sigma_{k}=\cos (u+j v)=\cos (u) \cosh (v)-j \sin (u) \sinh (v)
\end{align*}
$$

thereby leading to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{k}=\cos (u) \cosh (v)  \tag{4.33}\\
& \sigma_{k}=-\sin (u) \sinh (v)
\end{align*}
$$

Also from (4.31), write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\cos [N(u+j v)]= \pm \frac{j}{\varepsilon}  \tag{4.34}\\
\cos (N u) \cosh (N v)-j \sin (N u) \sinh (N v)= \pm \frac{j}{\varepsilon} \tag{4.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solutions to (4.35) then become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos (N u) \cosh (N v)=0 \\
& \sin (N u) \sinh (N v)= \pm \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution to the first portion of (4.36) requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{(2 k-1)}{2 N} \pi \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the second portion requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v= \pm \frac{1}{N} \sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.37) and (4.38) in (4.33) finally results in

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{k}=\cosh \left[\frac{1}{N} \sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \cos \left[\frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 N}\right] \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, 2 N  \tag{4.39}\\
& \sigma_{k}=-\sinh \left[\frac{1}{N} \sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \sin \left[\frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 N}\right] \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, 2 N \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

From this final pair of results then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega_{k}^{2}}{\cosh ^{2}(v)}+\frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{\sinh ^{2}(v)}=1 \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it becomes clear that the poles lie on an ellipse having parameters

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=\cosh \left[\frac{1}{N} \sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] & \text { (major-axis) } \\
b=\sinh \left[\frac{1}{N} \sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] & \text { (minor-axis) } \tag{4.42}
\end{array}
$$

Two different Chebyshev lowpass filter examples are shown in Figure 20 through Figure 23. In the first case, the passband ripple is purposely made large ( 1 dB ) in order to illustrate that this leads to
higher quality poles (poles closer to the $j \omega$-axis). As shown in the second case, the poles still lie on a very elliptical perimeter even for small passband ripple cases ( 0.1 dB ).

Chebyshev lowpass attenuation characteristics for orders 1 through 10 are shown in Figure 24 through Figure 28 for passband ripple parameters of $0.01 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.1 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.25 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.5 \mathrm{~dB}$, and 1.0 dB respectively.


Figure $20 N=5$ Chebyshev lowpass filter with 1 dB passband ripple ${ }^{12}$


Figure 21 Filter gain characteristic ${ }^{13}$ corresponding to poles locations in Figure 20

Group delay and impulse transient responses are shown in Figure 29 through Figure 37. Table 2 provides the 0.1 dB ripple Chebyshev impulse responses in mathematical form for $N \leq 7$.
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Figure $22 N=6$ Chebyshev lowpass filter with 0.1 dB passband ripple


Figure 23 Filter gain characteristic corresponding to poles locations in Figure 22


Normalized Frequency, rad/s
Figure 24 Chebshev lowpass filter stopband attenuation characteristics ${ }^{14}$ versus order for 0.01 dB passband ripple filters
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Figure 25 Chebyshev lowpass filter stopband attenuation characteristics versus order for 0.10 dB passband ripple filters


Figure 26 Chebyshev lowpass filter stopband attenuation characteristics versus order for 0.25 dB passband ripple filters


Figure 27 Chebyshev lowpass filter stopband attenuation characteristics versus order for 0.50 dB passband ripple filters


Figure 28 Chebyshev lowpass filter stopband attenuation characteristics versus order for 1.0 dB passband ripple filters


Figure 29 Chebyshev lowpass filter group delay characteristics for 0.01 dB passband ripple case


Figure 30 Chebyshev lowpass filter group delay characteristics for 0.1 dB passband ripple case


Figure 31 Chebyshev lowpass filter group delay characteristics for 0.5 dB passband ripple case


Figure 32 Peak group delay for 0.01 dB ripple Chebyshev lowpass filters versus filter order


Figure 33 Peak group delay for 0.1 dB ripple Chebyshev lowpass filters versus filter order


Figure 34 Peak group delay for 0.5 dB ripple Chebyshev lowpass filters versus filter order


Figure 36 Impulse response for 0.1 dB ripple Chebyshev lowpass filters versus filter order


Figure 35 Impulse response for 0.01 dB ripple Chebyshev lowpass filters versus filter order


Figure 37 Impulse response for 0.5 dB ripple Chebyshev lowpass filters versus filter order

Table 2 Chebyshev (Normalized) Filter Impulse Responses ${ }^{15}$ for 0.1 dB Passband Ripple Case

| Filter <br> Order <br> $\boldsymbol{N}$ | Impulse Response ${ }^{16}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |
| 2 | $f(t)=2.3998 \mathrm{e}^{-1.1862 t} \sin (1.3809 t)$ |
| 3 | $f(t)=0.96941 e^{-0.96941 t}-0.96941 e^{-0.4847 t} \cos (1.2062 t)+0.38956 \mathrm{e}^{-0.4847 t} \sin (1.2062 t)$ |
| 4 | $f(t)=1.386 e^{-0.63773 t} \sin (0.465 t)+0.40683 e^{-0.6373 t} \cos (0.465 t)$ |
|  | $-0.4387 e^{-0.26416 t} \sin (1.1226 t)-0.40683 e^{-0.26416 t} \cos (1.1226 t)$ |

### 3.3 Design of Passive LC Chebyshev Lowpass Filters

The design formula for Chebyshev filters are understandably similar to those for the Butterworth case. The formula adopted here are based upon the work provided in [2]. ${ }^{17}$ The filter configuration is again shown in Figure 12.

Assuming that the filter order is given by $N$, let the passband ripple be represented by $A_{\text {rip }}$ in dB . Then define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\sqrt{10^{A_{\text {rip }} / 10}-1} \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
a=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4 R_{\text {load }}}{\left(R_{\text {source }}+R_{\text {load }}\right)^{2}} & \text { for } N \text { odd }  \tag{4.44}\\
\frac{4 R_{\text {load }}}{\left(R_{\text {source }}+R_{\text {load }}\right)^{2}}\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right) & \text { for } N \text { even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then compute
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i}=2 \sin \left(\frac{i \pi}{2 N}\right) \\
& \beta_{i}=2 \cos \left(\frac{i \pi}{2 N}\right) \tag{4.45}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Define two additional parameters

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma=\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}+1}\right)^{1 / N}  \tag{4.46}\\
& \delta=\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-a}{\varepsilon^{2}}}+\sqrt{\frac{1-a}{\varepsilon^{2}}+1}\right)^{1 / N}
\end{align*}
$$

and from here

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\gamma-\frac{1}{\gamma}  \tag{4.47}\\
& y=\delta-\frac{1}{\delta}
\end{align*}
$$

The first prototype filter value is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=\frac{2 \alpha_{1}}{x-y} \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the remaining prototype parameters are recursively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k}=\frac{4 \alpha_{n m(k-1)} \alpha_{n m(k-1)+2}}{b(k-1, x, y) G_{k-1}} \text { for } k=2,3, \ldots, N \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
n m(j)=2 j-1  \tag{4.50}\\
b(j, x, y)=x^{2}-\beta_{2 j} x y+y^{2}+\alpha_{2 j}^{2} \tag{4.51}
\end{gather*}
$$

Several design examples are provided here to facilitate computer program verification in Figure 38 through Figure 41.


Figure 38 Calculation details for $3^{\text {rd }}$-order unequally-terminated Chebyshev lowpass filter

| U18215 Tabulated Chebyshev LPF Prototype De: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chebyshev Lowpass Filter Design |  |  |  | Rs= 1.0 | $\mathrm{RI}=$ | 0.5 |  |
| Order= | 4 | (<= 10) |  | Ripple, dB= | 0.1 |  |  |
| epsilon= | 0.152620419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At= | 0.909593771 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma= | 1.905377961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d= | 1.4298148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}=$ | 1.380547706 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $y=$ | 0.730423523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k= | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.0000 | 6.0000 | 7.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha_k= | 0.7654 | 1.4142 | 1.8478 | 2.0000 | 1.8478 | 1.4142 | 0.7654 |
| beta_k= | 1.8478 | 1.4142 | 0.7654 | 0.0000 | -0.7654 | -1.4142 | -1.8478 |
| b()$=$ | 3.0134 | 6.4394 | 5.8655 | 4.4562 | 5.8655 | 6.4394 | 3.0134 |
| nm()$=$ | 1.0000 | 3.0000 | 5.0000 | 7.0000 | 9.0000 | 11.0000 | 13.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gk= | 2.3545 | 0.7973 | 2.6600 | 0.3626 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 39 Calculation details for $4^{\text {th }}$-order unequally-terminated Chebyshev lowpass filter


Figure 40 Calculation details for $5^{\text {th }}$-order unequally-terminated Chebyshev lowpass filter

| U18215 Tabulated Chebyshev LPF Prototype Des |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chebyshev Lowpass Filter Design |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Rs}=1.0$ | $\mathrm{RI}=$ | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order= | 6 | (<= 10) |  | Ripple, dB= | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| epsilon= | 0.152620419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At= | 0.909593771 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma= | 1.536930013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d= | 1.269170178 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}=$ | 0.886282299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $y=$ | 0.481253776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k= | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.0000 | 6.0000 | 7.0000 | 8.0000 | 9.0000 | 10.0000 | 11.0000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha_k= | 0.5176 | 1.0000 | 1.4142 | 1.7321 | 1.9319 | 2.0000 | 1.9319 | 1.7321 | 1.4142 | 1.0000 | 0.5176 |  |
| beta_k= | 1.9319 | 1.7321 | 1.4142 | 1.0000 | 0.5176 | 0.0000 | -0.5176 | -1.0000 | -1.4142 | -1.7321 | -1.9319 |  |
| b()$=$ | 1.2783 | 3.5906 | 5.0171 | 4.4436 | 2.7559 | 1.8702 | 2.7559 | 4.4436 | 5.0171 | 3.5906 | 1.2783 |  |
| nm()$=$ | 1.0000 | 3.0000 | 5.0000 | 7.0000 | 9.0000 | 11.0000 | 13.0000 | 15.0000 | 17.0000 | 19.0000 | 21.0000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gk= | 2.5561 | 0.8962 | 3.3962 | 0.8761 | 2.8071 | 0.3785 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 41 Calculation details for $6^{\text {th }}$-order unequally-terminated Chebyshev lowpass filter

## 4 Inverse Chebyshev Lowpass Filters

The Chebyshev loss characteristic was given earlier by (4.1) where $F(\omega)$ was given by (4.15) which was an $N^{\text {th }}$-order Chebyshev polynomial. The power-gain characteristic for the frequency-normalized inverse Chebyshev lowpass filter is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\omega)=10 \log _{10}\left\{\frac{|\delta F(\omega)|^{2}}{1+|\delta F(\omega)|^{2}}\right\} \mathrm{dB} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta=10^{-0.05 A_{\text {sopdiB }}}  \tag{5.2}\\
F(\omega)=\cos \left[N \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right)\right] \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $A_{\text {stopdB }}$ is the minimum equal-ripple stopband attenuation required in dB . Parameter $N$ is the order of the filter. The $\varepsilon$ corresponding to the associated Chebyshev filter is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^{2}}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (normalized) -3 dB passband frequency is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3 d B}=\frac{1}{\cosh \left[\frac{1}{N} \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right]} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (normalized) radian frequency at which the passband gain is $A_{p a s s d B}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\text {pass }}=\frac{1}{\cosh \left[\frac{1}{N} \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1-\alpha_{0}}}\right)\right]} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=10^{-0.1 A_{\text {passid }}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (normalized) poles for the associated Chebyshev filter are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\text {poles }_{l k}}=-\sinh \left(v_{0}\right) \sin \left[\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2 N}\right]  \tag{5.8}\\
& \omega_{\text {poles }_{l k k}}=\cosh \left(v_{0}\right) \cos \left[\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2 N}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}=\frac{1}{N} \sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (normalized) poles for the inverse Chebyshev filter are found from the associated Chebyshev poles as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\text {poles }_{k}}=\frac{\sigma_{\text {poles }_{k}}}{\left(\sigma_{\text {poles }_{k}}\right)^{2}+\left(\omega_{\text {poles }_{k}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{5.10}\\
& \omega_{\text {poles }_{k}}=\frac{\omega_{\text {poles }_{k}}}{\left(\sigma_{\text {poles }_{k}}\right)^{2}+\left(\omega_{\text {poles }_{k}}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

The (normalized) zeros for the inverse Chebyshev filter are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\text {zeros }_{k}}=\frac{1}{\cos \left[\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2 N}\right]} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike the Butterworth or Chebyshev poles, the poles of the inverse Chebyshev filter do not follow a recognizable pattern. This fact is illustrated ${ }^{18}$ in Figure 42 and Figure 43 with the associated attenuation characteristics shown in Figure 44 and Figure 45.


Figure 42 Inverse Chebyshev normalized pole locations for $N=9$ and 20 dB minimum stopband attenuation


Figure 43 Inverse Chebyshev normalized pole locations for $N=9$ and 50 dB minimum stopband attenuation
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Figure $44 \mathrm{~N}=9$ inverse Chebyshev filter with 20 dB minimum stopband attenuation


Figure $45 \mathrm{~N}=9$ inverse Chebyshev filter with 50 dB minimum stopband attenuation


Figure 46 Close-up of passband characteristic for $N=9$ inverse Chebyshev filter exhibiting 50 dB minimum stopband attenuation

The attenuation characteristic of some inverse Chebyshev filters like that shown in Figure 45 could easily be mistaken for an elliptical filter. The distinguishing characteristic between the two filters is that the elliptical filters are equal-ripple in the passband as well as the stopband whereas the inverse Chebyshev filters are not. A close-up of the passband characteristic associated with Figure 45 is shown in Figure 46.

Interestingly enough, the inverse Butterworth characteristic (if attempted) results in the original Butterworth filter! This occurs because all of the (normalized) Butterworth poles lay on a unit-circle which results in the denominator values in (5.10) all being unity.

## 5 Gaussian Lowpass Filters

The Paley-Weiner criterion determines whether a specified amplitude response can be physically realized by a causal filter or not [19]. If the amplitude response in question is represented by $|H(j \omega)|$, realizability demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log _{e}[|H(j \omega)|]}{1+\omega^{2}} d \omega<\infty \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the true Gaussian-shaped attenuation characteristic,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{\text {Gauss }}(j \omega)\right|=k_{0} \exp \left[-k_{1} \omega^{2}\right] \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\log _{e}\left(k_{0}\right)-k_{1} \omega^{2}}{1+\omega^{2}} d \omega \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result means that the true Gaussian filter shape can only be approximated over a finite frequency range in order for the filter to be physically realizable.

The only design parameters for the approximate Gaussian filters are (i) the extent of the approximation which is usually taken as attenuation levels of 6 dB or 12 dB , and (ii) the order of the filter. Williams [4] refers to these filters as transitional filters in that the characteristics lie between the Chebyshev and Bessel filter families. Other so-called transitional filters can be constructed between the Butterworth and Bessel filter families of course. The derivation details behind the transitional filters in Williams is sketchy at best and seems to have been lost in antiquity! Williams comments that these filters were generated by mathematical techniques which involve interpolation of pole locations, but no other details are provided. These approximate Gaussian filters are all-pole in nature and the filter poles are given in Table 3.

Gaussian to 6 dB and Butterworth Lowpasses


Figure $478^{\text {th }}$-order Gaussian, Butterworth, and Bessel filters compared ${ }^{19}$
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Figure 48 Poles locations of $8^{\text {th }}$-order Bessel, Butterworth, and Gaussian filters compared ${ }^{20}$

The attenuation characteristics of the $8^{\text {th }}$-order Butterworth, Bessel, and Gaussian to 6 dB filters are compared in Figure 47. The pole locations for these same filters are compared in Figure 48. Group delay characteristics for the filters are compared in Figure 49.

Filter Group Delay ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ )


Figure 49 Group delay filter characteristics compared ${ }^{21}$
Several of the Gaussian to 6 dB filters are compared to the ideal Gaussian filter shape in Figure 50. The filters break from the ideal Gaussian shape at different radian frequencies depending upon the order of the filter. Within the 6 dB filter bandwidth, the approximate Gaussian filters approximate the ideal Gaussian shape in an almost equalripple manner as shown in Figure 51.

Table 3 Normalized Gaussian Filter Poles ${ }^{22}$

| Gaussian to 6 dB |  |  |  | Gaussian to 12 dB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order | $-\sigma$ | $\pm \omega$ |  | Order | $-\sigma$ | $\pm \omega$ |
| 3 | 0.9622 | 1.2214 |  | 3 | 0.9360 | 1.2168 |
|  | 0.9776 |  |  | 4 | 0.9630 |  |
| 4 | 0.7940 | 0.5029 |  |  | 0.9192 | 1.6995 |
|  | 0.6304 | 1.5407 |  | 5 | 0.8075 | 0.5560 |
| 5 | 0.619 | 0.8254 |  | 0.7153 | 2.0532 |  |
|  | 0.3559 | 1.5688 |  |  | 0.8131 |  |
| 6 | 0.6650 |  |  | 6 | 0.7019 | 0.4322 |

[^14]| Gaussian to 6 dB |  |  |  | Gaussian to 12 dB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order | $-\sigma$ | $\pm \omega$ |  | Order | $-\sigma$ | $\pm \omega$ |
|  | 0.4672 | 0.9991 |  |  | 0.6667 | 1.2931 |
|  | 0.2204 | 1.5067 |  |  | 0.4479 | 2.1363 |
| 7 | 0.4580 | 0.5932 |  | 0.6155 | 0.7703 |  |
|  | 0.3649 | 1.1286 |  |  | 0.5486 | 1.5154 |
|  | 0.1522 | 1.4938 |  |  | 0.2905 | 2.1486 |
|  | 0.4828 |  |  |  | 0.6291 |  |
| 8 | 0.4222 | 0.2640 |  |  | 0.5441 | 0.3358 |
|  | 0.3833 | 0.7716 |  |  | 0.4328 | 0.9962 |
|  | 0.2878 | 1.2066 |  |  | 0.1978 | 2.6100 |
|  | 0.1122 | 1.4798 |  |  | 0.4961 | 0.6192 |
|  | 0.3700 | 0.4704 |  |  | 0.3568 | 1.2145 |
|  | 0.3230 | 0.9068 |  |  | 0.1489 | 1.7429 |
|  | 0.2309 | 1.2634 |  |  | 0.5065 | 2.1003 |
|  | 0.08604 | 1.4740 |  |  | 0.4535 | 0.2794 |
|  | 0.3842 |  |  |  | 0.4352 | 0.8289 |
| 10 | 0.3384 | 0.2101 |  |  | 0.3886 | 1.3448 |
|  | 0.3164 | 0.6180 |  |  | 0.2908 | 1.7837 |
|  | 0.2677 | 0.9852 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1849 | 1.2745 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.06706 | 1.4389 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 50 Gaussian to 6 dB filters compared to the ideal Gaussian filter shape ${ }^{23}$


Figure 51 Gaussian to 6 dB filters compared to the ideal Gaussian shape. ${ }^{24}$ The former approximate the ideal Gaussian shape in nearly a Chebyshev manner as shown.

[^15]
### 5.1 Approximate Gaussian Filters

The Gaussian to $x \mathrm{~dB}$ filter approximations in Table 3 appear to be some kind of Chebyshev curve-fit in the passband with the ideal Gaussian shape, transitioning to a stopband shape that has the steepness of traditional Chebyshev filters. As mentioned earlier, however, the precise objective filter shape seems to have been lost in antiquity.

Some experimentation with a candidate function has proved promising, however ${ }^{25}$. The passband frequency edge $f_{p}$ is defined here as the frequency at which the ideal Gaussian shape exhibits $x_{d B}$ of attenuation. More specifically,

$$
\begin{align*}
&-x_{d B}=10 \log _{10}\left\{\exp \left[-\left(\gamma f_{p}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \mathrm{dB} \\
&=10 \frac{\log _{e}\left\{\exp \left[-\left(\gamma f_{p}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}}{\log _{e}(10)}=-\frac{10}{\log _{e}(10)}\left(\gamma f_{p}\right)^{2} \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The -3 dB frequency is consequently given by $\gamma f_{-3 d B}=\sqrt{\frac{3 \log _{e}(10)}{10}}=0.83113$ and this relationship can be used to compute $\gamma$ for a specified -3 dB bandwidth value.

Similarly, the $N^{\text {th }}$-order Chebyshev stopband attenuation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {cheby }_{-} d B}(\omega)=10 \log _{10}\left\{1+\varepsilon^{2} \cosh ^{2}\left[N \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {rip }}}\right)\right]\right\} \mathrm{dB} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{r i p}$ is the radian frequency associated with the ripple bandwidth and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\sqrt{10^{A_{\text {rip }} / 10}-1} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a passband ripple of $A_{r i p} \mathrm{~dB}$. The radian frequency at which the Chebyshev filter attenuation is $x_{d B}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega_{x d B}}{\omega_{r i p}}=\cosh \left[\frac{1}{N} \cosh ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{10^{x_{d B} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{r i p} / 10}-1}}\right)\right] \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5.15) and (5.18) can be used to ensure that the objective attenuation characteristic is piecewise continuous in nature. Assuming that the -3 dB radian frequency for the Gaussian filter is known, the value for $\gamma$ is given by $\gamma=0.83113 / f_{-3 d B}$ and the frequency associated with $x_{d B}$ of attenuation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p}=\frac{1}{\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{\log _{e}(10)}{10} x_{d B}} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here, $\omega_{x d B}$ is calculated directly using (5.18).
The complete objective attenuation function is then given by

[^16]\[

A_{d B}(f)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{10}{\log _{e}(10)}(\gamma f)^{2} & f \leq f_{p}  \tag{5.20}\\
A_{\text {cheby }_{-} d B}(2 \pi f) & f>f_{p}
\end{array}
$$\right\}
\]

The attenuation for the all-pole filter can be written as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A_{d B}(\omega) & =-20 \log _{10}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{-p_{k}}{s-p_{k}}\right] \\
-20 \log _{10}\left(\left|\frac{\sigma_{\frac{N+1}{2}}}{j \omega-\sigma_{\frac{N+1}{2}}}\right|\right)-10 \log _{10}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\omega_{k}^{2}+\sigma_{k}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sigma_{k} \omega\right)^{2}}\right] & \text { for } N \text { odd }  \tag{5.21}\\
-10 \log _{10}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\omega_{k}^{2}+\sigma_{k}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sigma_{k} \omega\right)^{2}}\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where the filter poles $p_{k}$ are assumed to be ordered appropriately.
For an individual complex pole, it is straight forward to show that the partial derivatives of interest are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial A_{d B}(\omega)}{\sigma_{k}}=\frac{40}{\log _{e}(10)} \frac{\sigma_{k}}{\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}}-\frac{40}{\log _{e}(10)} \frac{\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right) \sigma_{k}+2 \sigma_{k} \omega^{2}}{\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sigma_{k} \omega\right)^{2}}  \tag{5.22}\\
& \frac{\partial A_{d B}(\omega)}{\omega_{k}}=\frac{40}{\log _{e}(10)} \frac{\omega_{k}}{\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}}-\frac{40}{\log _{e}(10)} \frac{\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right) \omega_{k}+2 \omega_{k} \omega^{2}}{\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}+\omega_{k}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sigma_{k} \omega\right)^{2}} \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

A simple gradient-based least-mean-square solution usually finds a very good solution but the objective function choice still results in a bit more ripple near the passband edge than the original transitional filters given by Zverev and Williams.

## 6 Adjustable Gaussian Lowpass Filters

The folks at lowa Hills Software ${ }^{26}$ have scripted a new type of filter they call adjustable Gaussian lowpass filters. The filter family is said to be a compromise between a Gaussian filter and a Butterworth filter by way of a single parameter $\gamma$ which will be described shortly.

This filter is an all-pole filter. The polynomial associated with its loss function (e.g., see (3.4)) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(s)=1-s^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2!}\right)^{\gamma} s^{4}-\left(\frac{1}{3!}\right)^{\gamma} s^{6}+\left(\frac{1}{4!}\right)^{\gamma} s^{8}-\left(\frac{1}{5!}\right)^{\gamma} s^{10} \pm \boldsymbol{\bullet} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the maximum order of $s$ is equal to two-times the order of the filter. The poles of (5.24) reside in both halves of the complex s-plane whereas only the poles in the left-half plane are retained for the physical filter implementation.

For programming purposes, lowa Hills constrains the user's $\gamma$ such that $-1 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ but the sign of the value is subsequently flipped, and the value multiplied by two if the user's value is greater than zero. lowa Hills also scales-up the imaginary portion of each pole by a factor of 1.1 to improve filter group delay flatness.

A number of design examples follow ${ }^{27}$. One notable difference compared to the lowa Hills results is that the computed filter poles are frequency-scaled so that the maximum pole modulus is always unity.


Figure 52 Example pole placement for $7^{\text {th }}$-order filter


Figure 53 Example pole placement for $11^{\text {th }}$-order filter

[^17]Table 4 Attenuation and Group Delay for $7^{\text {th }}$-Order Filters Versus $\gamma$


Table 5 Impulse and Step-Responses for $7^{\text {th }}$-Order Filters Versus $\gamma$


## 7 Bessel Filters

Bessel filters are known for their very flat group delay characteristic and associated pristine impulse response. Bessel filters are all-pole filters in which the poles can be determined by equally spacing the imaginary parts of the poles and choosing the real part of the poles such that they all lie on a circle [21]. Pole placement for a $5^{\text {th }}$-order Bessel filter is shown in Figure 54.


Figure 54 Pole placement for $5^{\text {th }}$-order Bessel lowpass filter

Table 6 Reverse Bessel Polynomials

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Reverse Bessel Polynomial |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $s+1$ |
| 2 | $s^{2}+3 s+3$ |
| 3 | $s^{3}+6 s^{2}+15 s+15$ |
| 4 | $s^{4}+10 s^{3}+45 s^{2}+105 s+105$ |
| 5 | $s^{5}+15 s^{4}+105 s^{3}+420 s^{2}+945 s+945$ |
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## 8 Linear Phase Filters

A closed-form method for computation of the pole locations is not available for linear phase filters. The pole locations are developed by iterative techniques [4]. Poles for equiripple linear phase filters of $0.05^{\circ}$ and $0.50^{\circ}$ error are provided in Table 7.

Table 7 Linear Phase Filter Poles from [4]

|  | $0.05{ }^{\circ}$ Error |  | $0.50^{\circ}$ Error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | Real Part $-\alpha$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Imag Part } \\ \pm j \beta \end{gathered}$ | Real Part $-\alpha$ | Imag Part $\pm \beta$ |
| 2 | 1.0087 | 0.6680 | 0.8590 | 0.6981 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8541 \\ & 1.0459 \end{aligned}$ | 1.0725 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6969 \\ & 0.8257 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1318 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9648 \\ & 0.7448 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4748 \\ & 1.4008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7448 \\ & 0.6037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5133 \\ & 1.4983 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8915 \\ & 0.6731 \\ & 0.9430 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8733 \\ & 1.7085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6775 \\ & 0.5412 \\ & 0.7056 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9401 \\ & 1.8256 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8904 \\ & 0.8233 \\ & 0.6152 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4111 \\ & 1.2179 \\ & 1.9810 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6519 \\ & 0.6167 \\ & 0.4893 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4374 \\ & 1.2963 \\ & 2.0982 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 0.8425 0.7708 0.5727 0.8615 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7791 \\ & 1.5351 \\ & 2.2456 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6190 \\ & 0.5816 \\ & 0.4598 \\ & 0.6283 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8338 \\ & 1.6453 \\ & 2.3994 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 0.8195 0.7930 0.7213 0.5341 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.3711 \\ & 1.1054 \\ & 1.8134 \\ & 2.4761 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.3857 \\ & 1.1505 \\ & 1.8914 \\ & 2.5780 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | 0.7853 0.7555 0.6849 0.5060 0.7938 | 0.7125 1.4127 2.0854 2.7133 | 0.5688 0.5545 0.5179 0.4080 0.5728 | 0.7595 1.5089 2.2329 2.9028 |
| 10 | 0.7592 0.7467 0.7159 0.6475 0.4777 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3413 \\ & 1.0195 \\ & 1.6836 \\ & 2.3198 \\ & 2.9128 \end{aligned}$ | 0.5249 0.5193 0.5051 0.4711 0.3708 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3487 \\ & 1.0429 \\ & 1.7261 \\ & 2.3850 \\ & 2.9940 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 8 Linear Phase to $0.50^{\circ}$ Filter Characteristics ${ }^{29}$


Filter Group Delay


Filter Gain Characteristics


Filter Step Response

${ }^{29}$ u22437_linphase_Opt50.m.

Table 9 Linear Phase to $0.05^{\circ}$ Filter Characteristics ${ }^{30}$
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## 9 Transitional Filters

A fairly wide variety of transitional filters can be found in the literature. For example, BeBut filters are defined in [22] as a filter family which transition from the Bessel shape to the Butterworth shape. These filters are obtained via the recurrent relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}(s)=\left[\frac{2 n+d}{s}\right] u_{n}(s)+u_{n-1}(s) \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 1, d$ is a design parameter between 0 and 1 , and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}(s)=1 \\
& u_{1}(s)=1+\frac{1}{s} \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

When $d=1$, the resulting polynomials correspond to Bessel filters whereas $d=0$ corresponds to filters very similar to Butterworth filters. For the $d=1$ case (Bessel), the first few polynomials are

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{0}(s)=1 \\
& w_{1}(s)=1+\frac{1}{s} \\
& w_{2}(s)=1+\frac{3}{s}+\frac{3}{s^{2}}  \tag{5.30}\\
& w_{3}(s)=1+\frac{6}{s}+\frac{15}{s^{2}}+\frac{15}{s^{3}} \\
& w_{4}(s)=1+\frac{10}{s}+\frac{45}{s^{2}}+\frac{105}{s^{3}}+\frac{105}{s^{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

The normal procedure is to cast a given polynomial into is normal form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(s)=s^{n}+a_{n-1} s^{n-1}+a_{n-2} s^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{0} \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the transfer function follows as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(s)=\frac{a_{0}}{p_{n}(s)}=\frac{a_{0}}{s^{n}+a_{n-1} s^{n-1}+a_{n-2} s^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{0}} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $d=0$, the $4^{\text {th }}$-order transfer function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{4}(s)=\frac{48}{s^{4}+8 s^{3}+32 s^{2}+48 s+48} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example pole loci ${ }^{31}$ for a $5^{\text {th }}$-order and $8^{\text {th }}$-order BeBut filters as a function of parameter $d$ are shown in Figure 55 and Figure 56. The pole locations do not mimic the Butterworth filter case very well, but the step-response of the filters are quite reasonable as shown in Figure 57 and Figure 58. The associated frequency-domain responses are shown in Figure 59 and Figure 60.


Figure 55 Pole loci ${ }^{32}$ for $5^{\text {th }}$-order BeBut filter. $d=0$ corresponds to the black diamonds whereas $d=1$ correspond to the green triangles.


Figure 56 Pole loci for $8^{\text {th }}$-order BeBut filter. $d=0$ corresponds to the black diamonds whereas $d=1$ correspond to the green triangles.
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Figure $575^{\text {th }}$-order filter case step-response ${ }^{33}$


Figure $595^{\text {th }}$-order filter case ${ }^{34}$


Figure $588^{\text {th }}$-order filter case step-response


Figure $608^{\text {th }}$-order filter case
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## 10 Elliptic Lowpass Filters ${ }^{35}$

Elliptic filters exhibit equal loss maximums in the passband and equal loss minimums in the stopband; they are often said to be equal-ripple in the passband and stopband. This filter type is more complicated than the Butterworth and Chebyshev filters considered thus far, so the discussion which follows is fairly lengthy.

Elliptic filters are first introduced here by considering a $5^{\text {th }}$-order elliptic lowpass filter. Most of the discussion is focused on the filter's loss characteristic denoted by $L\left(\omega^{2}\right)$. This naturally leads to material about the Jacobi elliptic functions and how they can be used to compute the poles and zeros of the transducer gain $T(s)$ function (See §1.2).

Early contributors ( e.g., Saal \& Ulbrich [18] ) were more inclined to study elliptic filters in terms of their characteristic function which is denoted here by $K(s)$. This notation is unfortunate given that the complete elliptic integral of the first kind is denoted by $K$, but retaining the functional dependence on $s$ should be sufficient to keep these two uses clearly separated. The ideal elliptic loss characteristic is not realizable without mutually-coupled transformers and or at least one negative component value for evenorder LC filters. This difficulty is circumvented by developing multiple filter types (denoted by types $a, b$, and $c$ ) as discussed later in §10.3.1 and §10.3.2 for the even-order case. Odd-order elliptic filters are naturally symmetric and therefore more straight forward to design. Elliptic filter synthesis has traditionally been based upon the characteristic function approach (e.g., [18]) whereas the methodology due to Amstutz [11] is adopted here for the filter synthesis portion of this paper.

## $10.15^{\text {th }}$-Order Elliptic Filter Loss Characteristic

A representative loss characteristic for a $5^{\text {th }}$-order elliptic lowpass filter is shown in Figure 61. The passband and stopband frequency edges are respectively defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{\text {pass }}=\sqrt{k} \\
& \omega_{\text {stop }}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the ratio of passband to stopband frequencies is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{\omega_{\text {pass }}}{\omega_{\text {stop }}}<1 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elliptic filters are frequently referred to in terms of their order, maximum passband reflection coefficient, and their modular angle $\theta$. The passband reflection coefficient magnitude and passband attenuation ripple are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {pass }}=-10 \log _{10}\left(1-|\rho|^{2}\right) \mathrm{dB} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the modular phase angle is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_{\text {pass }}}{\omega_{\text {stop }}}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^22]It is convenient to further define

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon_{p}=\sqrt{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1} \\
\varepsilon_{s}=\sqrt{10^{A_{\text {sop }} / 10}-1}  \tag{6.5}\\
k_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{10^{0.1 A_{\text {pass }}}-1}{10^{0.1 A_{\text {sop }}}-1}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{p}}{\varepsilon_{s}} \ll 1 \tag{6.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Analogous with the Chebyshev lowpass case, define the loss function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\omega^{2}\right)=1+\varepsilon_{p}^{2} F^{2}(\omega) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is given in decibel form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{d B}(\omega)=10 \log _{10}\left[L\left(\omega^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{dB} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As true earlier for the Chebyshev filter case, $F(\omega), L\left(\omega^{2}\right)$, and $L\left(-s^{2}\right)$ are all given by polynomial ratios. Following the lead information provided in Figure 61, the $5^{\text {th }}$-order elliptic lowpass filter must exhibit the following characteristics:

Requirement \#1: $F(\omega)=0$ at $\omega=0, \pm \Psi_{2}, \pm \Psi_{4}$
Requirement \#2: $F(\omega)=\infty$ at $\omega= \pm \Psi_{5}, \pm \Psi_{7}, \pm \infty$
Requirement \#3: $F^{2}(\omega)=1$ at $\omega= \pm \Psi_{1}, \pm \Psi_{3}, \pm \omega_{\text {pass }}=\sqrt{k}$
Requirement \#4: $F^{2}(\omega)=\frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}}$ at $\omega= \pm \Psi_{6}, \pm \Psi_{8}, \pm \omega_{\text {stop }}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$
Requirement \#5: $\frac{d L\left(\omega^{2}\right)}{d \omega}=0$ at $\omega= \pm \Psi_{1}, \pm \Psi_{3}, \pm \Psi_{6}, \pm \Psi_{8}$
From Requirements \#1 and \#2, $F(\omega)$ must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=M_{1} \frac{\omega\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{4}^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{5}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{7}^{2}\right)} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $M_{n}$ are arbitrary constants. From Requirement \#2 and \#3, $1-F^{2}(\omega)$ must be zero at the specified frequencies so that

## Elliptic Attenuation Characteristic



Figure $615^{\text {th }}$-order elliptic lowpass filter ${ }^{36}$ with $A_{\text {pass }}=2 \mathrm{~dB}, \mathrm{~A}_{\text {stop }}=40 \mathrm{~dB}, \mathrm{f}_{\text {pass }}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{f}_{\text {stop }}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-F^{2}(\omega)=M_{2} \frac{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-k\right)^{2}}{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{5}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{7}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additional squaring of the two numerator terms is in anticipation of Requirement \#5. Similarly from Requirements \#2, \#4, and \#5

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-k_{1}^{2} F^{2}(\omega)=M_{3} \frac{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{6}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{8}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2}}{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{5}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{7}\right)^{2}} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Requirement \#5 along with the denominator portion of $F(\omega)$ already present in (6.9) dictates that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d F}{d \omega} & =M_{4} \frac{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{3}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{6}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{8}^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{5}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{7}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =M_{4}\left[\frac{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{3}^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{5}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{7}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \times\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{6}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{8}^{2}\right) \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

[^23]Upon squaring (6.12) and then making use of (6.10) and (6.11), equation (6.12) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{d F}{d \omega}\right|^{2} & =M_{5}\left[\frac{1-F^{2}(\omega)}{\left(\omega^{2}-k\right)^{2}}\right] \times\left[\frac{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{6}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{8}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{5}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\Psi_{7}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =M_{6}\left[\frac{1-F^{2}(\omega)}{\left(1-\frac{\omega^{2}}{k}\right)^{2}}\right] \times\left[\frac{1-k_{1}^{2} F^{2}(\omega)}{\left(1-k \omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In differential form, (6.13) can be further simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d F}{\sqrt{\left(1-F^{2}\right)\left(1-k_{1}^{2} F^{2}\right)}}=\sqrt{M_{6}} \frac{d \omega}{\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{\omega^{2}}{k}\right)\left(1-k \omega^{2}\right)}} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y=\omega / \sqrt{k}$ into the right-hand side of (6.14) and performing the implied definite integration leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{F} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-k_{1}^{2} x^{2}\right)}}=M_{7} \int_{0}^{\omega / \sqrt{k}} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{\left(1-y^{2}\right)\left(1-k^{2} y^{2}\right)}}+M_{8} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both sides of (6.15) involve an elliptic integral which becomes more obvious by substituting $x=\sin (\phi)$ into the left-hand side, and $y=\sin (\theta)$ into the right-hand side. These substitutions transform (6.15) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\phi=\sin ^{-1}(F)} \frac{d \phi}{\sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2}(\phi)}}=M_{7} \int_{0}^{\theta=\sin ^{-1}(\omega / \sqrt{k})} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}}+M_{8} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{d \varphi}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2}(\varphi)}} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

the solution to (6.16) can be expressed in terms of two simultaneous equations given as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{k}}=\sin (\theta)=\operatorname{sn}(z, k)  \tag{6.18}\\
F=\sin (\phi)=\operatorname{sn}\left(M_{7} z+M_{8}, k_{1}\right) \tag{6.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $s n(u, v)$ is known as the elliptic sine function.
Based upon the information developed thus far along with the material in §10.7.1, §10.7.4, and $\S 10.7 .3$, it can be shown that (for $N$ is odd)

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=\frac{(-1)^{(N-1) / 2} \omega}{\sqrt{k_{1}}} \prod_{n=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \frac{\omega^{2}-\Psi_{z e r o, n}^{2}}{1-\omega^{2} \Psi_{z e r o, n}^{2}} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the attenuation zeros of the elliptic filter are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{z e r o, n}=\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2 K n}{N}, k\right) \text { for } \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots, \frac{N-1}{2} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ is the complete elliptic integral (See §10.7.1), and $N$ is the filter order. The attenuation poles are given by the reciprocal of the zeros as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\text {pole,n }}=\frac{1}{\Psi_{z e r o, n}} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.2 Elliptic Filter Poles and Zeros

### 10.2.1 Loss Function Poles and Zeros (Odd N)

The poles and zeros of interest are in the context of (6.7) and involve the extended loss function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(-s^{2}\right)=1+\varepsilon_{p}^{2} F^{2}(s) \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten in terms of the transformed frequency variable $z$ (see equ. (6.17) and Figure 88) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z)=1+\varepsilon_{p}^{2} F^{2}(z) \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based upon (6.19) and other periodicity requirements,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1} z}{K}, k_{1}\right) \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Factoring (6.24) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z)=\left[1+j \varepsilon_{p} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1} z}{K}, k_{1}\right)\right]\left[1-j \varepsilon_{p} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1} z}{K}, k_{1}\right)\right] \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the zero-solutions are dictated by the solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1} z}{K}, k_{1}\right)=\frac{j}{\varepsilon_{p}} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing, this becomes ${ }^{37}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1} z}{K}, k_{1}\right) \cong \sin \left(\frac{N K_{1} z}{K}\right)=\frac{j}{\varepsilon_{p}} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^24]since $k_{1}$ is almost always extremely small. For example, if the passband ripple is 0.1 dB and the minimum stopband attenuation requirement is $30 \mathrm{~dB}, k_{1}=0.0048$. (See $\S 10.7 .7$.) If the stopband attenuation is increased to $50 \mathrm{~dB}, k_{1}=0.000483$. It is therefore valid to take $K_{1}=\pi / 2$ in (6.28) thereby leading to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
-j \frac{N \pi z}{2 K} \cong \sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{p}}\right) \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Using the identity $\sinh ^{-1}(x)=\log _{e}\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}+1}\right)$, one solution-zero to $(6.24)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} \cong j \frac{K}{N \pi} \log _{e}\left(\frac{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 20}+1}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 20}-1}\right) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $s n($ ) function in (6.27) has a real period of $4 K / N$, all of the zeros are subsequently given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=z_{0}+\frac{4 K}{N} n \text { for } n=0,1, \ldots \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The s-plane zeros are finally found by transforming the $z_{n}$ values in (6.31) by using the transformation between $z$ and $s$ given by (6.18). In general, however, the $z_{n}$ values in (6.31) are complex. This issue can be handled by using the addition formula ${ }^{38}$ for elliptic sines as given without proof by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}, k\right)=\frac{\operatorname{sn}\left(z_{1}, k\right) c n\left(z_{2}, k\right) d n\left(z_{2}, k\right)+c n\left(z_{1}, k\right) \operatorname{sn}\left(z_{2}, k\right) d n\left(z_{1}, k\right)}{1-k^{2} s^{2}\left(z_{1}, k\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left(z_{2}, k\right)} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of (6.32) and (6.87) for a complex value $z=a+j b$ produces $^{39}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}(a+j b, k)=\frac{\operatorname{sn}(a, k) d n\left(b, k^{\prime}\right)+j \operatorname{sn}\left(b, k^{\prime}\right) c n(a, k) c n\left(b, k^{\prime}\right) d n(a, k)}{c n^{2}\left(b, k^{\prime}\right)+k^{2} s^{2}(a, k) \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left(b, k^{\prime}\right)} \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result makes it simple to translate all of the zeros given by (6.31) to the s-plane based upon (6.18) with $\omega=-j s$ resulting in ${ }^{40}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n} \pm j \omega_{n}=j \sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(z_{0}+\frac{4 K}{N} n\right) \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots, N-1 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N$ an odd integer, this may be rewritten as ${ }^{41}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{n} \pm j \omega_{n}=j \sqrt{k} s n\left(z_{0}+\frac{2 K}{N} n\right) \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots, \frac{N-1}{2}  \tag{6.35}\\
& \sigma_{0}=j \sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(z_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The (double) poles are located at

[^25]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}= \pm \frac{j}{\Omega_{n}} \quad \text { with } \quad \Omega_{n}=\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2 K}{N} n, k\right) \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{\sqrt{k_{1}}} \omega \prod_{n=1}^{r} \frac{\omega^{2}-\Omega_{n}^{2}}{1-\omega^{2} \Omega_{n}^{2}} \text { with } r=\frac{N-1}{2} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poles and zeros can be directly scaled to a ripple bandwidth of $\omega_{p}$ rad/sec by replacing $\sqrt{k}$ with $\omega_{p}$ in (6.34) and (6.36). See $\S 16$ for a number of detailed design examples.

### 10.2.2 Loss Function Poles and Zeros (Even $N$ )

For even-order filters, the zeros of $L()$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n} \pm j \omega_{n}=j \sqrt{k} s n\left[z_{0}+K\left(\frac{2 n-1}{N}\right), k\right] \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots, \frac{N}{2} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the poles of $L()$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}= \pm \frac{j}{\Omega_{n}} \quad \text { with } \quad \Omega_{n}=\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left[K\left(\frac{2 n-1}{N}\right), k\right] \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots, \frac{N}{2} \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding expression for $F()$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{\sqrt{k_{1}}} \prod_{n=1}^{r} \frac{\omega^{2}-\Omega_{n}^{2}}{1-\omega^{2} \Omega_{n}^{2}} \quad \text { with } r=\frac{N}{2} \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.2.3 Characteristic Function Poles \& Zeros (Odd $N$ )

The characteristic function is given by $K(s)=\varepsilon_{p} F(s)$ from (6.7). The significance of knowing $K(s)$ is that it plays an integral part in computing the input impedance of the filter versus frequency as given by (2.24) and (2.27). This function plays a vital role in traditional filter synthesis, but to a lesser extent in the Amstutz synthesis method which is used in $\S 10.8$. Based upon the Feldtkeller equation (2.15), the poles of $K(s)$ must be the same as the poles of $T(s)$ which were just computed in $\S 10.2$ since $|T(s)|^{2}=L\left(-s^{2}\right)$. The zeros of $K(s)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}= \pm j \Omega_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad \Omega_{n}=\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2 K n}{N}, k\right) \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots r \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the number of elliptic sections involved, namely floor $[(N-1) / 2]$. The Amstutz elliptic filter synthesis method is addressed in $\S 10.8$.

### 10.2.4 Characteristic Function Poles \& Zeros (Even $N$ )

As just described in $\S 10.2$.3, the poles of $K(s)$ must be the same as those for $T()$, namely those given by (6.39). The zeros of $K(s)$ for the even-order case are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}= \pm j \Omega_{n} \text { with } \Omega_{n}=\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left[K\left(\frac{2 n-1}{N}\right), k\right] \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots \frac{N}{2} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.2.5 Complete Elliptic Integrals and the Jacobi Elliptic Functions

Numerical evaluation of the complete elliptic integral as well as the twelve Jacobi elliptic functions are discussed further in $\S 10.7$. For a more detailed discussion about elliptic functions, Appendix $A$ of [8] is highly recommended as is reference [9]. Additional material is developed based upon Amstutz's work [11] in §17.

### 10.2.6 $N=5$ Elliptic Lowpass Filter Design Example ${ }^{42}$

Assume the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=5 \\
& k=0.5 \Rightarrow \omega_{\text {pass }}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}, \omega_{\text {stop }}=\sqrt{2} \\
& A_{\text {pass }}=0.1 \mathrm{~dB} \\
& A_{\text {stop }}=50 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then these results follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{p}=0.15262041895 \\
& \varepsilon_{s}=794.32760526133 \\
& k_{1}=0.000192138 \\
& z_{0}=j 0.55348751887
\end{aligned}
$$

L( ) Zeros: $-0.30341367575 \pm \mathrm{j} 0.51005682043$
$-0.09822601916 \pm \mathrm{j} 0.75912684028$
$-0.41785310753$
$L$ ( ) Poles:
$\pm$ j2.29866617127
$\pm \mathrm{j} 1.47732036935$


Figure $625^{\text {th }}$-order elliptic lowpass filter example

[^26]
### 10.3 Physically Realizable Even-Order Elliptic Filters

Elliptic lowpass filters are characterized by four different types commonly referred to as a, b, c, and s. Type-s elliptic filters are odd-order filters which can be physically implemented in a ladder network without requiring ideal transformers or negative LC values. Type-s filters exhibit a symmetric topology, with an $N^{\text {th }}$-order lowpass having $(N-1) / 2$ trap sections. In the context of the filter's $A B C D$ matrix description ( See §1.2), symmetry requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A R_{\text {load }}=D R_{\text {source }} \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elliptic filter types $a, b$, and $c$ are referred to as antimetric filters and are even-order filters. A filter is antimetric provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=R_{\text {source }} R_{\text {load }} C \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The type-a filter must include ideal transformers or at least one negative element in order to be physically realizable [1]. The type-b filter eliminates the need for negative circuit elements by moving the highest finite-frequency stopband attenuation pole to infinity. This frequency transformation reduces the transition rate from the passband to the stopband somewhat, but makes the filter physically realizable. The type-c filter additionally transforms the lowest passband attenuation zero to the origin so that the termination impedances can be made equal.

### 10.3.1 Even-Order Type-B Filters

Design of the type-b filter begins by following the details provided earlier in $\S 10.2$.2 and $\S 10.2 .4$. These formula produce the poles and zeros for a lowpass filter having a passband frequency of $\omega_{p}=\sqrt{k}$ and implied stopband frequency of $\omega_{s}=1 / \sqrt{k}$. The design is transformed to a type-b filter attenuation characteristic with a passband frequency of $\omega_{p}=1$ by making use of the frequency transformation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{b}=\frac{\gamma_{o}}{\sqrt{k}} \frac{s_{a}}{\sqrt{1+\left(s_{a} \Omega_{1}\right)^{2}}} \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{a}$ and $s_{b}$ represent complex frequency variables for the original filter design and the type-b filter design respectively, $\Omega_{1}$ is the lowest-frequency zero given by (6.39), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{o}=\sqrt{1-k \Omega_{1}^{2}} \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

A detailed design example for a $N=10$ filter type-b filter follows.

Example ${ }^{43}: \boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{1 0}, \varepsilon_{p}=0.20, k=\sin \left(60^{\circ}\right), A_{\text {stop }}=80.8 \mathrm{~dB}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}=0.198007183 \\
& \gamma_{0}=0.982876328
\end{aligned}
$$

Loss Poles Type-A $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}=\sqrt{k}$ rad/sec j 5.05032182896
j 1.85942796588
j 1.31607401295
j 1.13993579946 j 1.08092053456

## Loss Poles Type-B $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}=1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$

j 2.112246661
j 1.439741558
j 1.235858726
j 1.168717739

Loss Zeros Type-A $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}=\sqrt{k} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$
$-0.28865998524+j 0.21542621550$
$-0.20765911214+\mathrm{j} 0.57214146700$
$-0.11699931195+\mathrm{j} 0.78822155729$
$-0.05433928213+\mathrm{j} 0.89514103296$
$-0.01540932827+\mathrm{j} 0.93713410053$
Loss Zeros Type-B $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}=1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$
$-0.305204244+j 0.226618383$
$-0.223410566+j 0.606614441$
$-0.128188023+\mathrm{j} 0.842111589$
$-0.060202020+j 0.960452517$
$-0.017153023+\mathrm{j} 1.007250333$

Even-Order Elliptic Type-B


Figure $63 \mathrm{~N}=10, \rho=20 \%, k=\sin \left(60^{\circ}\right)$ type-b filter ${ }^{44}$

Even-Order Elliptic Type-B


Figure $64 \mathrm{~N}=10, \rho=20 \%, k=\sin \left(60^{\circ}\right)$ type-b filter. Passband close-up.
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### 10.3.2 Even-Order Type-C Filters

Design of a type-c filter begins by following the details provided earlier in §10.2.2 and §10.2.4. These formula produce the poles and zeros for a lowpass filter having a passband frequency of $\omega_{p}=\sqrt{k}$ and implied stopband frequency of $\omega_{s}=1 / \sqrt{k}$. The design is transformed to a type-c filter attenuation characteristic with a passband frequency of $\omega_{p}=1$ by making use of the frequency transformation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{c}=\frac{\gamma_{o}}{\sqrt{k}} \sqrt{\frac{s_{a}^{2}+\Omega_{1}^{2}}{1+\left(s_{a} \Omega_{1}\right)^{2}}} \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}$ is initially set to unity. The transformation of the passband zero to DC causes the passband frequency $\omega_{\rho}$ to shift very slightly (e.g., < $1 \%$ ) away from $1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ making a polishing step for parameter $\gamma_{0}$ necessary if an exact numerical match with [18] is desired. This can be done by employing a simple Newton-Raphson type solution where $\gamma_{0}$ is iteratively adjusted based upon the filter attenuation at 1 $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$. It is convenient to express the loss function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{d B}(s)=10 \log _{10}\left\{\left|A_{0} \prod_{n=1}^{N / 2}\left[\frac{\left(s-z_{n}\right)\left(s-z_{n}^{*}\right)}{\left(s-p_{n}\right)\left(s-p_{n}^{*}\right)}\right]\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n}$ and $p_{n}$ represent the transformed zeros and poles from (6.47), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=\prod_{n=1}^{N / 2}\left|\frac{p_{n}}{z_{n}}\right|^{2} \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The filter attenuation at the passband edge ( $1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) should equal $A_{\text {pass }}$ exactly. A detailed design example for a $10^{\text {th }}$-order type-c elliptic filter follows.

Example (from §10.3.1) Continued $^{\mathbf{4 5}}: \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 0}, \varepsilon_{p}=0.20, k=\sin \left(60^{\circ}\right), A_{\text {stop }}=80.8 \mathrm{~dB}$

$$
\gamma_{0}=1.005910031
$$

Loss Poles Type-C $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}=1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$
j 2.149455379
j 1.456709508
j 1.245593971
j 1.175866923

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Loss Zeros Type-C } \omega_{\mathrm{p}}=1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec} \\
-0.360305306+\mathrm{j} 0.200755509 \\
-0.241284178+\mathrm{j} 0.587408240 \\
-0.135230486+\mathrm{j} 0.834823971 \\
-0.06307909+\mathrm{j} 0.958700060 \\
-0.017932772+\mathrm{j} 1.007588230
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure $65 \mathrm{~N}=10, \rho=20 \%, k=\sin \left(60^{\circ}\right)$ type-c filter ${ }^{46}$


Figure $66 \mathrm{~N}=10, \rho=20 \%, k=\sin \left(60^{\circ}\right)$ type-c filter. Passband closeup.

### 10.4 Elliptic Filter Group Delay

The group delay for an all-pole filter was developed earlier in (3.9). Assuming that the voltage transfer function poles are represented by $\sigma_{n}+j \omega_{n}$ and the zeros are represented by $u_{m}+j v_{m}$, the filter group delay can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g}(\omega)=-\sum_{m=1}^{N_{\text {poles }}}\left[\frac{\sigma_{m}}{\sigma_{m}^{2}+\left(\omega-\omega_{m}\right)^{2}}\right]+\sum_{m=1}^{N_{\text {zerons }}}\left[\frac{u_{m}}{\sigma_{m}^{2}+\left(\omega-v_{m}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only odd-order elliptic filter results are shown here for brevity, and for the more common passband ripple values of $0.01 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.1 \mathrm{~dB}$, and 0.25 dB . The transfer function gain-nulls are due to ideal poles located at $\pm$ $j \omega$ which contribute nothing to the group delay since the real-parts of these poles are identically zero. Amstutz [11] develops a result for $d Z_{\text {in }} / d \omega$ which is directly related to the filter group delay (6.137).

Two different perspectives are offered in the plots which follow. The passband and stopband attenuation parameters are kept fixed in Figure 67 through Figure 69 and only the filter shape factor ( $k=$ $f_{\text {pass }} / f_{\text {stop }}$ ) allowed to vary. In all cases, the ripple bandwidth is held fixed at $1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$. In Figure 70 through Figure 81, the group delay is plotted for different passband and stopband attenuation levels versus filter order.
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Figure 67 Elliptic filter group delay for fixed passband and stopband attenuation ( $k_{1}$ is constant) allowing the filter shape factor $\left(k=f_{\text {pass }} / f_{\text {stop }}\right)$ to vary with filter order ${ }^{47}$. $A_{\text {pass }}=0.01 \mathrm{~dB}$.


Figure 68 Elliptic filter group delay for fixed passband and stopband attenuation ( $k_{1}$ is constant) allowing the filter shape factor $\left(k=f_{\text {pass }} / f_{\text {stop }}\right)$ to vary with filter order ${ }^{48}$. $A_{\text {pass }}=0.1 \mathrm{~dB}$.
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Figure 69 Elliptic filter group delay for fixed passband and stopband attenuation ( $k_{1}$ is constant) allowing the filter shape factor ( $\left.k=f_{\text {pass }} / f_{\text {stop }}\right)$ to vary with filter order ${ }^{49}$. $A_{\text {pass }}=0.25 \mathrm{~dB}$.


Figure $70 N=3$ elliptic loss characteristics ${ }^{50}$ with different stopband attenuation levels. Associated group delay characteristics are shown in Figure 71.

[^30]

Figure 71 Group delay characteristics for $N=3$ elliptic lowpass filter loss characteristics shown in Figure 70


Figure $72 N=3$ elliptic loss characteristics ${ }^{51}$ with different stopband attenuation levels. Associated group delay characteristics are shown in Figure 73.
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Figure 73 Group delay characteristics for $N=3$ elliptic lowpass filter loss characteristics shown in Figure 72


Figure $74 N=3$ elliptic loss characteristics ${ }^{52}$ with different stopband attenuation levels. Associated group delay characteristics are shown in Figure 75.
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Figure 75 Group delay characteristics for $N=3$ elliptic lowpass filter loss characteristics shown in Figure 74


Figure $76 N=5$ elliptic loss characteristics ${ }^{53}$ with different stopband attenuation levels. Associated group delay characteristics are shown in Figure 77.
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Figure 77 Group delay characteristics for $N=5$ elliptic lowpass filter loss characteristics shown in Figure 76


Figure $78 N=5$ elliptic loss characteristics ${ }^{54}$ with different stopband attenuation levels. Associated group delay characteristics are shown in Figure 79.
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Figure 79 Group delay characteristics for $N=5$ elliptic lowpass filter loss characteristics shown in Figure 78


Figure $80 N=5$ elliptic loss characteristics ${ }^{55}$ with different stopband attenuation levels. Associated group delay characteristics are shown in Figure 81.
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Figure 81 Group delay characteristics for $N=5$ elliptic lowpass filter loss characteristics shown in Figure 80

### 10.5 Elliptic Filter Transient Responses

Since elliptic filters are normally selected for their excellent stopband attenuation characteristics, transient response performance is generally of secondary importance. Elliptic filters also contain resonant LC sections which are prone to substantial ringing. The residue method can be used to calculate the impulse response of elliptic filters as done earlier for the Butterworth and Chebyshev filter cases. In general, the oscillatory ringing becomes more severe as the shape factor becomes more abrupt (i.e., $k \rightarrow 1$ ). Two example results are shown below in Figure 82 and Figure 83 for illustrative purposes.

Impulse Response


Figure 82 Impulse response for $N=5$ lowpass filter, $A_{\text {pass }}=0.1778 \mathrm{~dB}, A_{\text {stop }}=40 \mathrm{~dB}, k=0.73412$


Figure 83 Impulse response for $N=5$ lowpass filter, $A_{\text {pass }}=0.1778 \mathrm{~dB}, A_{\text {stop }}=60 \mathrm{~dB}, k=0.51445$

### 10.6 Elliptic Filter Design Parameters

Owing to the appearance of elliptic integrals on both sides of (6.16), one involved with the value of $F$ and the other with $\omega$, it should not be surprising to see symmetry in the filter order equation here which is stated without proof as ${ }^{56}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \geq \frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{1}} \frac{K}{K^{\prime}} \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are given by (6.56) and (6.76) using $k$, and $K_{1}$ and $K_{1}$ 'are calculated using the same two equations but with $k_{1}$ as the modulus rather than $k$.

If on the other hand, the filter order and passband ripple are known, and tradeoffs between stopband attenuation and filter shape factor are needed, a more convenient result for the minimum stopband loss is given by ${ }^{57}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {stopband }}=10 \log _{10}\left[\left(10^{0.1 A_{\text {pass }}}-1\right) \exp \left(N \pi \frac{K^{\prime}}{K}\right)\right]-12.04 \mathrm{~dB} \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the filter order, $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are the complete elliptic integrals given by (6.56) and (6.76), and $A_{\text {pass }}$ is the passband ripple in dB. For an example, assume that $N=5, k=0.80$, and $A_{\text {pass }}=0.1 \mathrm{~dB}$. This results in $K=1.9953, K^{\prime}=1.7508$, and a minimum stopband attenuation of 31.49 dB . Equation (6.52) is shown for several of the most commonly used passband ripple cases in Figure 84 through Figure 86.
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Figure 84 Minimum elliptic filter stopband attenuation versus shape factor for $A_{\text {pass }}=0.1 \mathrm{~dB}$


Figure 85 Minimum elliptic filter stopband attenuation versus shape factor ${ }^{58}$ for $A_{\text {pass }}=0.25 \mathrm{~dB}$
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Figure 86 Minimum elliptic filter stopband attenuation versus shape factor for $A_{\text {pass }}=1.0 \mathrm{~dB}$

### 10.6.1 Shortened Elliptic Order Equation

A much less computationally intensive means to compute the minimum required elliptic filter order (without computing complete elliptic functions) is given in chapter 5 of [8] and is provided here without further proof. Given the elliptic modulus value $k$ from (6.2), compute the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-k^{2}} \\
& q_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{k^{\prime}}}{1+\sqrt{k^{\prime}}}\right)  \tag{6.53}\\
& q=q_{0}+2 q_{0}^{5}+15 q_{0}^{9}+150 q_{0}^{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Given the allowable passband ripple $A_{\text {pass }}$ in dB and minimum desired stopband attenuation $A_{\text {stop }}$ again in dB , the remainder of the calculations follow as

$$
\begin{align*}
& D=\frac{10^{A_{\text {soop }} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1} \\
& N \geq \frac{\log _{e}(16 D)}{\log _{e}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \tag{6.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Additional details are also provided in §10.7.9.

### 10.6.2 Filter Shape Factor from $A_{p a s s}, A_{\text {stop }}$, and $N$

In some cases, the allowable passband ripple $A_{\text {pass }}$, required stopband attenuation $A_{\text {stop }}$, and filter order $N$ are known and it remains to calculate the stopband frequency. Orfanidis provides a concise result for this case in [13] as provided here without further proof. Given $k_{1}$ from (6.6), first compute $k_{1}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}$, it's associated complete elliptic integral $K^{\prime}$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime}=\left(k_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{N} \prod_{m=1}^{\lfloor\lfloor/ 2\rfloor} s n^{4}\left[\frac{(2 m-1)}{N} K_{1}^{\prime}, k_{1}^{\prime}\right] \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact result for $k\left(=f_{\text {pass }} / f_{\text {stop }}\right)$ follows as $k=\sqrt{1-\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}$.

### 10.7 Computing Elliptic Quantities

Efficient computation of the complete elliptic integral of the first kind is addressed first in §10.7.1, followed by computation of the complimentary complete elliptic integral in §10.7.2. The important $s$-plane to $z$ plane mapping function is discussed next in §10.7.3. The 12 Jacobi elliptic functions are introduced in $\S 10.7 .4$. Landen's transformation is used to compute the elliptic sne( ) and cde( ) functions in §10.7.5 and their inverses in $\S 10.7 .6$. The exact solution to (6.27) is discussed in $\S 10.7 .7$. The elliptic functions can also be computed using theta functions as discussed in §10.7.9.

### 10.7.1 Complete Elliptic Integral of the First Kind

The complete elliptic integral of the first kind is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(k)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}} \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complete elliptic integral obviously shows up in the context of elliptic filters, but also appears in several other engineering contexts including:

- Characteristic impedance relationship for stripline microwave transmission lines ${ }^{59}$
- Exact time-period of a swinging pendulum ${ }^{60}$

Direct numerical integration of (6.56) is painful for values of $k \rightarrow 1$. Fortunately for us, an ingenious method due to Landen can be used to compute the integral quickly and precisely. This method makes use of arithmetic-geometric means which make the integral solution very easy to compute.

The elliptic integral of the first kind may also be written in Gauss's formulation with a > b as
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$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta)+b^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{a^{2}\left[1-\sin ^{2}(\theta)\right]+b^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}} \\
=\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-\frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{a^{2}} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}}=\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}} \tag{6.57}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}=\frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{a^{2}} \tag{6.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arithmetic-geometric mean relationship can be developed by returning to the first integral in (6.57) and substituting

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=b \tan (\theta) \\
& d x=b \sec ^{2}(\theta) d \theta=\frac{b d \theta}{\cos ^{2}(\theta)} \tag{6.59}
\end{align*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta)+b^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{\left(x^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+b^{2}\right)}} \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making a second substitution into (6.60) of

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=t+\sqrt{t^{2}+a b}  \tag{6.61}\\
d x=d t+\frac{t d t}{\sqrt{t^{2}+a b}}=\left(\frac{\sqrt{t^{2}+a b}+t}{\sqrt{t^{2}+a b}}\right) d t=\frac{x d t}{\sqrt{t^{2}+a b}} \tag{6.62}
\end{gather*}
$$

results in

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\left(x^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+b^{2}\right)} \Rightarrow & 2 x \sqrt{t^{2}+\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2 t}{x}+\frac{a b}{x^{2}}\right)} \\
& =2 x \sqrt{t^{2}+\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{6.63}
\end{align*}
$$

because $2 t / x+a b / x^{2} \equiv 1$. With (6.63) as the denominator and (6.62) as the numerator of the integrand, the $x$-terms cancel out leaving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d t}{2 \sqrt{t^{2}+a b} \sqrt{t^{2}+\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{\left[t^{2}+(\sqrt{a b})^{2}\right]\left[t^{2}+\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right]}} \tag{6.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, as long as the geometric mean and arithmetic mean of $a$ and $b$ remain constant, the value of the integral is unchanged! In Gauss's formulation of Landen's transformation, the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2}(\theta)+b^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}} \tag{6.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

remains unchanged if $a$ and $b$ are replaced by their arithmetic and geometric means respectively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\frac{a+b}{2} ; \quad b_{1}=\sqrt{a b} \tag{6.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evaluation of $K(k)$ begins then with (6.58) which can be re-written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}=1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \tag{6.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to let $a_{0}=1$ (due to the $1 /$ a factor in (6.57)) leading to $b_{0}=\left(1-k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. The arithmetic and geometric means are then iterated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{j+1}=\frac{a_{j}+b_{j}}{2}  \tag{6.68}\\
& b_{j+1}=\sqrt{a_{j} b_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

until such time as $a_{j}-b_{j}$ is sufficiently small. At this point (iteration $L$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(k)=\frac{\pi}{2 a_{L}} \tag{6.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that starting out with

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=1+k \\
& b_{0}=1-k \tag{6.70}
\end{align*}
$$

gives identical results while avoiding the square-root operation in (6.68). The arithmetic mean of (6.70) is clearly 1 whereas the geometric mean is $\left(1-k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ thereby agreeing with the starting values identified with (6.67). The complete elliptic integral $K$ and complete complimentary elliptic integral $K^{\prime}$ are shown plotted in Figure 87.


Figure 87 Complete elliptic integral ${ }^{61} K(6.56)$ and complementary elliptic integral $K^{\prime}(6.76)$

### 10.7.2 Complementary Complete Elliptic Integral of the First Kind

The previous section only considered real values of $K(k)$ whereas imaginary values also occur. Consider the imaginary value case where

$$
\begin{equation*}
j v=\int_{0}^{\psi} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}} \tag{6.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now applying the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin (\theta)=j \tan \left(\theta^{\prime}\right) \tag{6.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

to (6.71), the differentials are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\theta) d \theta=j \sec ^{2}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta^{\prime} \tag{6.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

and carrying this through,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta=\frac{j d \theta^{\prime}}{\cos ^{2}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{1+\tan ^{2}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}}=\frac{j d \theta^{\prime}}{\cos \left(\theta^{\prime}\right)} \tag{6.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\int_{0}^{\psi^{\prime}} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-\left(1-k^{2}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}}=\int_{0}^{\psi^{\prime}} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{6.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sin (\psi)=j \tan \left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$ for the integrand upper-limit. It is therefore helpful to define the complete complementary elliptic integral of the first-kind as

[^39]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}} \tag{6.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $k^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-k^{2}}$.

### 10.7.3 $s$-Plane to $z$-Plane Transformation using $\operatorname{sn}(z, k)$

The earlier result (6.21) is really a variable transformation which maps points in the $z$ - plane into points within the $\omega$ - plane. It is this transformation which is responsible for the elliptic filter's attenuation characteristic versus frequency, especially its rapid transition between passband and stopband.

The $s n()$ function is doubly periodic in that a single point $z_{p}$ as well as other points given by $z=z_{p}+4 m K+j 2 n K^{\prime}$ (for integer values of $m$ and $n$ ) are all mapped onto the same point within the $\omega$ plane. The z-parameter has different periods in the real and imaginary dimensions given by $4 K$ and $2 K^{\prime}$, and these are the complete elliptic integrals discussed earlier in $\S 10.7 .1$ and $\S 10.7 .2$ respectively.

The transformation between the $z$ - and $\omega$ - planes is shown graphically in Figure 88. The $z$ plane nodes are specifically labeled with the letters $S, C, D$, and $N$, and are directly tied to the names given to the 12 different elliptic functions possible [13]. These nodes correspond to the $z$ - plane corner points $\left\{0, K, j K^{\prime}, K+j K^{\prime}\right\}$ as shown. An elliptic function $p q(z, k)$ is named such that the first letter $p$ can be any of the four possible letters $\{s, c, d, n\}$ and the second letter $q$ can be any of the three remaining letters. Each function $p q(z, k)$ has a simple zero at corner $p$ and a simple pole at corner $q$ in Figure 88. In general, the following relationships hold


Figure 88 Transformation between z-plane and $\omega$-plane by way of (6.18) for $N=5$. Only the fundamental $z$ - plane rectangle is shown. ${ }^{62}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p q(z, k)=\frac{1}{q p(z, k)}, p q(z, k)=\frac{\operatorname{pr}(z, k)}{q r(z, k)} \tag{6.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where letter $r$ can be any of the four letters but different than $p$ and $q$. All twelve elliptic functions are summarized in terms of $c n(), d n()$, and $s n()$ in Table 10 for convenience.

The mathematical symmetry imposed by (6.22) is responsible for delivering the equiripple stopband attenuation characteristic of elliptic filters when the passband is equiripple. The passband zeros and poles can otherwise be chosen independently of each other (e.g., Butterworth and Chebyshev filters
${ }^{62}$ For zeros, $z=(2 m+1) K+j 2 n K^{\prime}$ and for poles $z=(2 m+1) K+j(2 n+1) K^{\prime}$ for arbitrary integers $n$ and $m$.
have all of their attenuation poles at $\infty$ ). Since the passband and stopband edges are given by $V k$ and 1 / $\sqrt{ } k$ respectively, the passband to stopband transition speed for elliptic filters is arguably optimal, at least in the case of analog filters.

Darlington [7] was one of the first to recognize the similarities between all-pole filters with their poles located at $\infty$, and the increasing slope of the filter's transition region as some of the poles are moved from infinity to finite frequencies in the context of elliptic filters. He likened the transformation of the pole positions (in the case of an equiripple stopband attenuation characteristic) as equivalent to manipulating elliptic sine values and their moduli. In this respect, Darlington was able to unify the theory between all-pole filters such as the Butterworth and Chebyshev and the elliptic filter family [7].

### 10.7.4 Jacobi Elliptic Functions

The elliptic sine function from (6.18) is rewritten here for convenience as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin (\theta)=\operatorname{sn}(z, k) \tag{6.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for the elliptic function $\cos (\theta)=c n(z, k)$. MATLAB provides a single function call which returns the three primary elliptic function values as $[s n, c n, d n]=\operatorname{ellipj}(z, M)$ where $M=k^{2}$, $\operatorname{sn}(z, k)=\sin (\theta), c n(z, k)=\cos (\theta)$. For the third function, taking the derivative of (6.17),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d z}{d \theta} & =\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)}=\sqrt{1-k^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2}(z, k)}  \tag{6.79}\\
& =d n(z, k)
\end{align*}
$$

This function $d n(z, k)$ is also known as the difference function [10]. These elliptic functions are plotted for several values of $k$ in Figure 89 through Figure 93. (Note that $z$ is not normalized to $K$ in these equations!) Several other identities may prove helpful including the following [13]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=c n(z, k)=\cos (\theta)  \tag{6.80}\\
{s n^{2}(z, k)+c n^{2}(z, k)=1}_{c d(z, k)=\operatorname{sn}(z+K, k)=\operatorname{sn}(K-z, k)}^{c d[z+(2 i-1) K, k]=(-1)^{i} \operatorname{sn}(z, k) \text { for any integer } i}  \tag{6.81}\\
c d(z+2 i K, k)=(-1)^{i} c d(z, k) \text { for any integer } i  \tag{6.82}\\
c d\left(z+j K^{\prime}, k\right)=\frac{1}{k c d(z, k)} \tag{6.83}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Elliptic Functions



Figure 89 Elliptic functions ${ }^{63}$ for $k=0.99999$

## Elliptic Functions



Figure 90 Elliptic functions ${ }^{64}$ for $k=0.99$
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Figure 91 Elliptic functions ${ }^{65}$ for $k=0.90$

## Elliptic Functions



Figure 92 Elliptic functions ${ }^{66}$ for $k=0.10$
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Figure 93 Elliptic $s n\left(\right.$ ) and $c n\left(\right.$ ) functions ${ }^{67}$

Table 10 All 12 Elliptic Functions in Terms of $s n(), c n()$, and $d n()$ (First letter of the function on the far left, second letter of the function across the top.)

|  | $\mathbf{s}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\boldsymbol{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{s}$ | - | $\frac{\operatorname{sn}(z, k)}{c n(z, k)}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{sn}(z, k)}{d n(z, k)}$ | $\operatorname{sn}(z, k)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $\frac{c n(z, k)}{\operatorname{sn}(z, k)}$ | - | $\frac{c n(z, k)}{d n(z, k)}$ | $c n(z, k)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{d}$ | $\frac{d n(z, k)}{\operatorname{sn}(z, k)}$ | $\frac{d n(z, k)}{c n(z, k)}$ | - | $d n(z, k)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\frac{1}{\operatorname{sn}(z, k)}$ | $\frac{1}{c n(z, k)}$ | $\frac{1}{d n(z, k)}$ | - |

As $k \rightarrow 0$, the $s n()$ and $c n()$ functions become increasingly sinusoidal as shown in Figure 92 and Figure 93 because in the limit, $z=\theta$ thereby resulting in

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{sn}(z, 0)=\sin (z)  \tag{6.86}\\
& \operatorname{cn}(z, 0)=\cos (z)
\end{align*}
$$
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Figure 94 Elliptic sine functions for an imaginary argument ${ }^{68}$


Figure 95 Elliptic cosine functions for an imaginary argument ${ }^{69}$
In the case of imaginary $z$ values where $z=j u$, it can be shown [10]
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$$
\begin{align*}
& s n(j u, k)=j \frac{s n\left(u, k^{\prime}\right)}{c n\left(u, k^{\prime}\right)} \\
& c n(j u, k)=\frac{1}{c n\left(u, k^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{6.87}\\
& d n(j u, k)=\frac{d n\left(u, k^{\prime}\right)}{c n\left(u, k^{\prime}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Of these three, the $s n($ ) function is of greatest interest in the design of elliptic filters. The elliptic sine function is shown in Figure 94 for several different $k$-moduli as a function of $z / j$ as is the elliptic cosine function in Figure 95.

### 10.7.5 Elliptic sne( ) and cde( ) Functions Using Landen's Transformations ${ }^{\mathbf{7 0}}$

The key tool for evaluating the elliptic functions $w=c d(z, k)$ and $w=s n(z, k)$ at any complex value $z$ is the Landen transformation. This transformation begins with a given elliptic modulus $k$ and generates a sequence of decreasing moduli $k_{n}$ via a recursion starting with $k_{0}=k$. The recursion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=\left(\frac{k_{n-1}}{1+\sqrt{1-k_{n-1}^{2}}}\right)^{2} \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots, M \tag{6.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moduli $k_{n}$ decrease rapidly to zero which permits easy evaluation of the $s n()$ and $c d()$ values as shown momentarily. Another form of (6.88) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-k_{n-1}^{\prime}}}{1+\sqrt{1-k_{n-1}^{\prime}}} \tag{6.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse recursion of (6.88) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n-1}=\frac{2 \sqrt{k_{n}}}{1+k_{n}} \text { for } n=M, M-1, \ldots, 1 \tag{6.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The MATLAB elliptic sine function sne( ) uses normalized input values such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}(u \times K, k)=\operatorname{sne}(u, k) \tag{6.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compute $w=\operatorname{sne}(u, k)$, first initialize

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{M}=\sin \left(u \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and recursively compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n-1}^{-1}=\frac{1}{1+k_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{w_{n}}+k_{n} w_{n}\right) \text { for } n=M, M-1, \ldots, 1 \tag{6.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

leaving the final answer as $w_{0}$. For computing $w=c d e(u, k)$, initialize
${ }^{70}$ Based upon material in [13].

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{M}=\cos \left(u \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

and perform the same recursion given by (6.93) leaving the answer as $w_{0}$. Several numerical results are provided in Table 11 to assist in confirming computed results.

Table 11 Computed Elliptic Function Values

| $k$ |  | $\operatorname{sn}(0.2 K, k)$ | $\operatorname{sn}(0.4 K, k)$ | $\operatorname{sn}(0.6 K, k)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ |
|  |  | $\operatorname{sne}(0.2, k)$ | $\operatorname{sne}(0.4, k)$ | $\operatorname{sne}(0.6, k)$ |
| 0.98 | 3.0209804455298 | 0.54113794844234 | 0.840849536186955 | 0.95538987217989 |
| 0.90 | 2.28054913842277 | 0.429472291338501 | 0.735680640297899 | 0.903822534082928 |
| 0.80 | 1.99530277555208 | 0.382521258305844 | 0.682296930663461 | 0.872518193323011 |

### 10.7.6 Inverse Elliptic cde( ) and sne( ) Functions Using Landen's Transformation

The inverse of cde( ) can be calculated in a very similar fashion as done in $\S 10.7 .5$. Given a specific value $w=c d e(u, k)$ for which the inverse is to be computed, first set $w_{0}=w$. The reverse recursion of (6.93) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=\frac{2 w_{n-1}}{\left(1+k_{n}\right)\left(1+\sqrt{1-k_{n-1}^{2} w_{n-1}^{2}}\right)} \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots, M \tag{6.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

The repeated recursions will end with $w_{M}=\cos \left(u \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ from which the final answer follows as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{2}{\pi} \cos ^{-1}\left(w_{M}\right) \tag{6.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only difference involved with computing the inverse sne( ) function is that in the final step (6.96) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{2}{\pi} \sin ^{-1}\left(w_{M}\right) \tag{6.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the final answer.

### 10.7.7 Exact Solution to Equation (6.27)

Equation (6.27) is repeated here for convenience as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1} z}{K}, k_{1}\right)=\frac{j}{\varepsilon_{p}} \tag{6.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

The text outlined an earlier close approximate solution as given in (6.30) but it is instructive to follow through with the exact solution here. Letting $z=j x$, (6.98) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}\left(j \frac{N K_{1}}{K} x, k_{1}\right)=j \frac{\operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1}}{K} x, \sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}\right)}{\operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{N K_{1}}{K} x, \sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}\right)}=\frac{j}{\varepsilon_{p}} \tag{6.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the top identity given in (6.87). Canceling $j$ out on each side and recognizing that $s n^{2}(x, y)+c n^{2}(x, y)=1$, the right-hand portion of this can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{N K_{1}}{K} x, \sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon_{p}^{2}}} \tag{6.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution for $x$ quickly follows as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{K}{N K_{1}} \operatorname{sn}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon_{p}^{2}}}, \sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}\right) \tag{6.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is assumed that if $\operatorname{sn}\left(u K_{x}, k_{x}\right)=w$, then $u K_{x}=\operatorname{sn}^{-1}\left(w, k_{x}\right)$. Some $s n()$ inverse function implementations, however, return the normalized value $u$ rather than $u K_{x}$ thereby offering some potential confusion. Defining $\operatorname{asn}\left(w, k_{x}\right)=u, k_{x}=\sqrt{1-k_{1}^{2}}$, and $K_{x}$ as the associated complete elliptic integral,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{K K_{x}}{N K_{1}} \operatorname{asn}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon_{p}^{2}}}, k_{x}\right) \tag{6.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

A few numerical examples should serve to eliminate any confusion.
Since $k_{1}$ is given by (6.6), it is usually quite small for all practical design cases. Repeating the equation here,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{10^{0.1 A_{\text {pass }}}-1}{10^{0.1 A_{\text {stop }}}-1}}<1 \tag{6.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

even if $A_{\text {pass }}$ is as large as 0.5 dB (for the passband ripple), $k_{1}$ will be less than 0.01 so long as minimum stopband attenuation $A_{\text {stop }}$ is greater than 31 dB .

Table 12 Example Calculations

| $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\boldsymbol{K}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$, <br> $\mathbf{d B}$ | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}$ <br> Equ. (6.102) | Approx <br> Equ. (6.30) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 1.685750 | 5 | 2.0 | 0.866025 | 0.10 | 0.1526204 | 0.440627044455 | 0.6566661 |
| 0.1 | 1.574746 | 5 | 2.0 | 0.994987 | 0.10 | 0.1526204 | 0.632566132275 | $"$ |
| 0.01 | 1.570836 | 5 | 2.0 | 0.999950 | 0.10 | 0.1526204 | 0.656390017576 | $"$ |
| 0.001 | 1.570797 | 5 | 2.0 | 0.9999995 | 0.10 | 0.1526204 | 0.656663291799 | $"$ |
| 0.001 | 1.570797 | 5 | 2.0 | 0.9999995 | 0.25 | 0.2434209 | 0.540006574347 | 0.5400077 |
| 0.001 | 1.570797 | 5 | 2.0 | 0.9999995 | 0.50 | 0.3493114 | 0.451779250502 | 0.4517798 |

### 10.7.8 MATLAB Script

The MATLAB solution to (6.98) is simply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{K}{N} \operatorname{asne}\left(\frac{j}{\varepsilon_{p}}, k_{1}\right) \tag{6.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the asne( ) function handles complex arguments directly.

### 10.7.9 Computing Elliptic Sine and Cosine Using Theta-Functions

Elliptic functions can also be represented in terms of series. Many older references use theta functions to calculate several of the elliptic functions. The results are presented here as a matter of continuity and without proof ${ }^{71}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{sn}(z, k)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \frac{\theta_{1}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)}{\theta_{0}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)}  \tag{6.105}\\
& c n(z, k)=\sqrt{\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}} \frac{\theta_{2}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)}{\theta_{0}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)}  \tag{6.106}\\
& d n(z, k)=\sqrt{k^{\prime}} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)}{\theta_{0}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)} \tag{6.107}
\end{align*}
$$

The q-parameter is known as the modular constant and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\exp \left(-\pi \frac{K^{\prime}}{K}\right) \tag{6.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the individual theta functions are given as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{0}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)=1+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[(-1)^{m} q^{m^{2}} \cos \left(2 m \frac{\pi z}{2 K}\right)\right]  \tag{6.109}\\
\theta_{1}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)=2 q^{1 / 4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{(-1)^{m} q^{m(1+m)} \sin \left[(2 m+1) \frac{\pi z}{2 K}\right]\right\}  \tag{6.110}\\
\theta_{2}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)=2 q^{1 / 4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{q^{m(1+m)} \cos \left[(2 m+1) \frac{\pi z}{2 K}\right]\right\} \tag{6.111}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^44]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}\left(\frac{z}{2 K}, q\right)=1+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[q^{m^{2}} \cos \left(2 m \frac{\pi z}{2 K}\right)\right] \tag{6.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Since $q<1$, these series converge fairly rapidly and any degree of precision desired can be obtained. The formula are directly applicable for complex $z$ values as well.

As noted elsewhere ${ }^{72}$, the rather lengthy calculations represented by (6.108) can be shortened substantially by using the recursive approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{m}=q_{0}+2 q_{m-1}^{5}-5 q_{m-1}^{9}+10 q_{m-1}^{13} \tag{6.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m=1,2, \ldots$ until the desired accuracy has been obtained where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\sqrt{k^{\prime}}}{1+\sqrt{k^{\prime}}} \tag{6.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

It normally suffices to truncate the recursion in (6.113) leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \cong q_{0}+2 q_{0}^{5}+15 q_{0}^{9}+150 q_{0}^{13} \tag{6.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modular constant (6.108) is plotted versus the elliptic modulus value $k$ in Figure 96 and the approximation error using (6.113) is shown in Figure 97 illustrating that the convergence is indeed rapid.


Figure 96 Exact modular function value ${ }^{73}$ (6.108) versus elliptic modulus parameter $k$

[^45]

Figure 97 Modular constant approximation error versus iteration number using (6.113)

### 10.8 Elliptic Filter Synthesis

Modern filter synthesis methods usually rely upon first developing a driving-point impedance function based upon the insertion loss techniques described in $\S 1.2$ and $\S 1.3$. This first step will also be employed here, but the next step which is used in the synthesis process follows the method proposed by Amstutz in [11].

### 10.8.1 Amstutz Elliptic Filter Synthesis Method

This method is frequently cited in the literature because it is the only method known which avoids the mounting precision issues involved with polynomial manipulation in the customary synthesis methods. That said, the precision requirements in calculating $d Z$ / $d \omega$ with this method are rather severe and cannot be taken lightly. Two computational methods are discussed shortly.

Consider two cascaded elliptic filter sections as shown in Figure 98. This topology is the basis for the derivations which follow and it is a simple matter to convert the results to the dual topology later. Assume that the radian resonance frequency of inductor $M_{1}$ and capacitor $C_{1}$ is given by $\omega_{1}$, and similarly for the second section comprising of $M_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ which are series-resonant at frequency $\omega_{2}$. In a neighborhood of $p_{1}=j \omega_{1}$, the impedance of the series-resonant section is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{M_{1} C_{1}}(p)=M_{1}\left(p-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{p}\right) \tag{6.116}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 98 The Amstutz synthesis method relies upon an ingenious permutation of elliptic filter sections. The left-most trap is series resonant at radian frequency $\omega_{1}$ and the second section series resonant at $\omega_{2}$.

In general, the impedance on the right-hand side of the first section is not zero, but the input impedance $Z_{1}$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $p_{1}$ is still given very accurately by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}(p)=p L_{1}+M_{1}\left(p-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{p}\right) \tag{6.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (6.117) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d Z_{1}}{d p}\right|_{p=p_{1}}=L_{1}+2 M_{1} \tag{6.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based upon (6.117),

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=\frac{Z_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)}{p_{1}} \tag{6.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (6.118)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left.\frac{d Z_{1}}{d p}\right|_{p=p_{1}}-L_{1}\right] \tag{6.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=-\frac{1}{M_{1} p_{1}^{2}} \tag{6.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning to Figure 98, it can be shown that any two-port having this structure has an equivalent two-port network with the same structure but with the resonant circuits associated with the resonant frequencies $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ interchanged as shown in Figure 99. Once the input impedance function for the filter is known, the designer can choose whether to place the elliptic section associated with $\omega_{1}$ first or second, and similarly with the $\omega_{2}$ section. The input impedance in terms of L's and C's is only easily calculated, however, using (6.119) through (6.121) for the first section. Amstutz recognized these facts and used them to synthesize elliptic filters using two basic steps. In the first step, each of the elliptic filter sections is computed as if it were the first section in the complete filter cascade. In the second step, Amstutz brought in each of these sections from the left (or right) and iteratively permuted their position in the cascade until it finally appeared on the far right (or left) of the cascade. The entire filter was subsequently synthesized by iteratively bringing in one new LC section at a time.


Figure 99 Two cascaded elliptic filter sections where the sections have been permutated
The Amstutz method begins with computing the LC elliptic filter section values associated with each trap-frequency $\omega_{m}$ as if it were the first section in the overall filter cascade. Let these values be denoted by $L_{m, 1}, M_{m, 1}$, and $C_{m, 1}$. The second subscript denotes the assumed position for the elliptic filter section within the cascade where the indexing begins from the left (input) side of the filter.

Only one of the sections can in fact be the first section in the cascade of course. Subsequent sections are introduced on the left (right) side and then permutated from left to right (right to left) using the Amstutz algorithm until they are ultimately placed on the far-right (-left) side of the cascade.

Given $L_{1,1}, M_{1,1}$, and $C_{1,1}$ for the first section, assume that a second elliptic section is to be appended to the filter having starting values $L_{2,1}, M_{2,1}$, and $C_{2,1}$. Once the new section has been permutated to be the second section in the cascade, its component values are denoted by $L_{2,2}, M_{2,2}$, and $C_{2,2}$. These component values (with the 22 subscripts) can be calculated from the 11 and 21 subscripted values as follows. First let

$$
\begin{gather*}
U=L_{11}-L_{21}  \tag{6.122}\\
V=\left[\frac{U C_{11}}{\left(\omega_{2}^{-2}-\omega_{1}^{-2}\right)}-1\right]^{-1} \tag{6.123}
\end{gather*}
$$

From these results, then compute

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{C_{22}}=\frac{V^{2}}{C_{21}}-\frac{(1+V)^{2}}{C_{11}}  \tag{6.124}\\
M_{22}=\frac{1}{C_{22} \omega_{2}^{2}}  \tag{6.125}\\
L_{22}=U V \tag{6.126}
\end{gather*}
$$

A third elliptic filter section can be brought into the filter section cascade by applying this permutation algorithm two times. Working again from the left side of the filter, the $\omega_{3}$ and $\omega_{1}$ sections are first permutated so that the resonant sections left-to-right are $\omega_{1}, \omega_{3}, \omega_{2}$. Then the algorithm is applied a second time to the last two sections thereby resulting in the sequence $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$. Additional details can be found in [1], [3], and of course [11].

### 10.8.2 Input Impedance Function $Z_{i n}(\boldsymbol{s})$ and $d Z_{i n} / d \omega$

A second crucial step in Amstutz's solution is his computation of the filter's input impedance and especially $d Z_{i n} / d \omega$ at the trap resonant frequencies. Although $Z_{i n}$ is well conditioned, it is not adequately conditioned for direct numerical differentiation with high-order filters. As discussed briefly in $\S 10.8 .3$, even fairly complicated differentiation techniques fall prey to numerical precision issues and are in general, not reliable for higher order cases.

The input impedance function for the elliptic lowpass filter can be found from the characteristic function and transducer gain function since the reflection coefficient is given by (see (2.12) and (2.26))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(s)=\frac{K(s)}{T(s)} \tag{6.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.23), the transducer gain function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)=\frac{E(s)}{P(s)} \tag{6.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the characteristic gain function is similarly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s)=\frac{F(s)}{P(s)} \tag{6.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form adopted for $T(s)$ is the same as that used in (2.23), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)=t_{0} \frac{\prod_{n}\left(s-t_{n}\right)}{\prod_{m}\left(s-p_{m}\right)}=\frac{E(s)}{P(s)} \tag{6.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $K(\mathrm{~s})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s)=s_{0} \frac{\prod_{n}\left(s-s_{n}\right)}{\prod_{m}\left(s-p_{m}\right)} \tag{6.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

which produces the reflection coefficient given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(s)=\frac{s_{0}}{t_{0}} \frac{\prod_{n}\left(s-s_{n}\right)}{\prod_{n}\left(s-t_{n}\right)} \tag{6.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnitude of the reflection coefficient at every attenuation pole $p_{k}$ is unity and the corresponding input impedance can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\text {in }}\left(p_{k}\right)=R_{\text {source }} \frac{1+\rho\left(p_{k}\right)}{1-\rho\left(p_{k}\right)} \tag{6.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(p_{k}\right)=\varepsilon \exp \left[j \sum_{n} \arg \left(p_{k}-s_{n}\right)-j \sum_{n} \arg \left(p_{k}-t_{n}\right)\right] \tag{6.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ where the +1 value applies for symmetric filters and the -1 for antimetric filters. In the symmetric and antimetric elliptic filter case, $\arg \left(p_{k}-s_{n}\right)=\pi / 2$ which makes it possible to rewrite (6.134) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho\left(p_{k}\right) & =\varepsilon \exp \left[j \sum_{n}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\arg \left(p_{k}-t_{n}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\varepsilon \exp \left[j \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right] \tag{6.135}
\end{align*}
$$

Using this result in (6.133) then produces

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\text {in }}\left(p_{k}\right) & =R_{\text {source }} \frac{1+\varepsilon \exp \left[j \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right]}{1-\varepsilon \exp \left[j \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right]} \\
& =R_{\text {source }} \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{j}{2} \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right]+\varepsilon \exp \left[\frac{j}{2} \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right]}{\exp \left[-\frac{j}{2} \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right]-\varepsilon \exp \left[\frac{j}{2} \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right]}  \tag{6.136}\\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
j R_{\text {source }} \cot \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right] \quad \text { for } \varepsilon=1 \\
-j R_{\text {source }} \tan \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \phi_{k}^{n}\right] \quad \text { for } \varepsilon=-1
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

which is identical to Amstutz (3.4). This result is easily calculated for each $p_{k}$ value with excellent accuracy. Amstutz derives a similarly important result for $d Z_{\text {in }} / d \omega$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{2 R_{\text {source }}}{R_{\text {source }}^{2}-Z_{\text {in }}^{2}(\omega)} \frac{d Z_{\text {in }}}{d \omega}\right|_{\omega=p_{k}} & =\left(\frac{d}{d \omega} \sum_{n}^{\left.\arg \left(j \omega-t_{n}\right)\right)_{\omega=p_{k}}}\right. \\
& =-\sum_{n}\left[\frac{\sigma_{n}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}+\left(\omega_{k}-\omega_{n}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{6.137}
\end{align*}
$$

The results provided in (6.136) and (6.137) make it possible to accurately compute $Z_{\text {in }}$ and $d Z_{\text {in }} / d \omega$ on the basis of the $p_{k}$ and $t_{n}$ values alone thereby making it possible to compute all of the initial LC sections as described earlier in §10.8.1.

NOTE: $Z_{\text {in }}(\omega)$ must be replaced by $R_{\text {source }} R_{\text {load }} / Z_{\text {in }}(\omega)$ for the antimetric (even-order) case when LC sections are introduced from the output side of the filter rather than the input.

### 10.8.3 Aside: Computing $d Z_{\text {in }} / d \omega$ Using Numerical Differentiation

Calculating the derivative of the input impedance with respect to frequency at $\omega=\omega_{m}$ is particularly sensitive to numerical imprecision. Using a simple finite-difference to approximate the derivative at each characteristic function zero is insufficient except for the most benign design cases. The approach described here is to first perform a polynomial curve-fit through a set of calculated $Z_{i n}$ values at radian frequencies $\omega_{w r k}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\omega_{m}-2 \delta \omega, & \omega_{m}-\delta \omega, & \omega_{m}, & \omega_{m}+\delta \omega, \\ \omega_{m}+2 \delta \omega\end{array}\right]$, followed by differentiation of this polynomial at radian frequency $\omega_{m}$ which is a specific characteristic function pole of interest. Assuming that $Z_{i n}$ is closely approximated by a $4^{\text {th }}$-order polynomial in $\omega$ near $\omega_{m}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i n}\left(\omega_{m}+\delta \omega\right)=a(\delta \omega)^{4}+b(\delta \omega)^{3}+c(\delta \omega)^{2}+d(\delta \omega)+e \tag{6.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $4^{\text {th }}$-order polynomial which passes through all of the $Z_{i n}$ values precisely has coefficients given by ${ }^{74}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a  \tag{6.139}\\
b \\
c \\
d \\
e
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{24}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 \\
-2 & 4 & 0 & -4 & 2 \\
-1 & 16 & -30 & 16 & -1 \\
2 & -16 & 0 & 16 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 24 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
Z_{i n}\left(\omega_{m}-2 \delta \omega\right) \\
Z_{i n}\left(\omega_{m}-\delta \omega\right) \\
Z_{i n}\left(\omega_{m}\right) \\
Z_{\text {in }}\left(\omega_{m}+\delta \omega\right) \\
Z_{\text {in }}\left(\omega_{m}+2 \delta \omega\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Differentiating (6.138) with respect to $\omega$ at $\omega_{n}$ produces the derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Z_{i n}}{d \omega} \cong \frac{\delta Z_{i n}}{\delta \omega} \tag{6.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying that only the $4^{\text {th }}$ row of (6.139) need actually be computed. In a completely analogous manner, a $6^{\text {th }}$-order polynomial can be used to curve-fit the $Z_{i n}$ values and upon differentiating the resultant polynomial,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Z_{i n}}{d \omega} \cong \frac{1}{\delta \omega}\left[\frac{-1}{60}, \frac{3}{20}, \frac{-3}{4}, 0, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{-3}{20}, \frac{1}{60}\right]\left[Z_{x}\right]^{T} \tag{6.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{X}$ is the impedance row-vector given by calculating $Z_{i n}$ at radian frequencies $\omega_{m}+n \delta \omega$ for $n=\{-3,-2, \ldots, 3\}$. This level of precision in the impedance derivative is required in order to have accurate results through roughly $11^{\text {th }}$-order elliptic filters over most stopband / passband attenuation combinations. Even so, this approach is considerably less accurate than Amstutz's method even though it also involves substantially more computation.

More details about the Amstutz method are provided in $\S 17$. A thorough study of Amstutz's original paper [11] is highly recommended for anyone who wants to master the mathematical design of elliptic filters.
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## 11 Filter Synthesis Using Iterated Analysis ${ }^{75}$

Perhaps the most valuable tenet provided in [20] is the use of ABCD matrices to formulate the design solution. In the case of a lossless two-port network as shown in Figure 100, the ABCD formulation provides

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1}  \tag{142}\\
i_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{2} \\
i_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The associated power-related transfer function of interest is given by ${ }^{76}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{2 v_{2}}{E} \sqrt{\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in terms of decibel power-gain,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{d B} & =10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{4 R_{1}}{R_{2}}\left|\frac{v_{2}}{E}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& =10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{4 R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right]-10 \log _{10}\left[\left|\frac{E}{v_{2}}\right|^{2}\right] \tag{144}
\end{align*}
$$

In the situation where $R_{2} \rightarrow \infty$, the first term in (144) is discarded and attention is focused on the voltage-gain term alone. It is convenient to take $E=1$ without any loss of generality. In terms of ABCD components,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{v_{2}}=\frac{1}{v_{2}}=A+\frac{B}{R_{2}}+R_{1} C+\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} D \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation method employed herein ultimately makes use of the Newton method and partial derivatives with respect to each network element value $e_{n}$ are consequently needed. From (144),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial G_{d B}}{\partial e_{n}} & =\frac{-10}{\log _{e}(10)} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{n}}\left[\log _{e}\left(\frac{1}{v_{2} \overline{v_{2}}}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{-10}{\log _{e}(10)} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{n}}\left[\log _{e}\left(\frac{1}{v_{2}}\right)+\log _{e}\left(\frac{1}{\overline{v_{2}}}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{-10}{\log _{e}(10)}\left[v_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{v_{2}}\right)+\overline{v_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{\overline{v_{2}}}\right)\right]  \tag{146}\\
& =\frac{-20}{\log _{e}(10)} \operatorname{Re}\left[v_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{v_{2}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$
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Figure 100 Definition terms for ABCD matrix description ${ }^{77}$
The quantity $v_{2}^{-1}$ is directly available from (145). In order to compute the partial derivatives required in (146), we turn our attention now to Figure 101 and Figure 102. For the shunt-admittance case in Figure 101, the resultant ABCD network is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{147}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[A_{1} A_{2}+B_{1}\left(Y A_{2}+C_{2}\right)\right]} & {\left[A_{1} B_{2}+B_{1}\left(Y B_{2}+D_{2}\right)\right]} \\
{\left[C_{1} A_{2}+D_{1}\left(Y A_{2}+C_{2}\right)\right]} & {\left[C_{1} B_{2}+D_{1}\left(Y B_{2}+D_{2}\right)\right]}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

from which

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{148}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} A_{2} & B_{1} B_{2} \\
D_{1} A_{2} & D_{1} B_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Based upon Figure 100, (143), and (148)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{v_{2}}=\frac{1}{v_{2}}=\left[R_{1} C+\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} D+A+\frac{B}{R_{2}}\right] \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\left(\frac{E}{v_{2}}\right)=R_{1} D_{1} A_{2}+\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} D_{1} B_{2}+B_{1} A_{2}+\frac{1}{R_{2}} B_{1} B_{2} \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the series-impedance case shown in Figure 102, the resultant derivative is

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{151}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} C_{2} & A_{1} D_{2} \\
C_{1} C_{2} & C_{1} D_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\left(\frac{E}{v_{2}}\right)=\left[R_{1} C_{1} C_{2}+\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} C_{1} D_{2}+A_{1} C_{2}+\frac{1}{R_{2}} A_{1} D_{2}\right] \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

E


Figure 101 Cascaded network with shunt admittance $Y$ present
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Figure 102 Cascaded network with series impedance $Z$ present
In order to get the partial derivatives with respect to the component values $e_{k}$, the chain-rule must be used. In the case where admittance $Y$ is a shunt capacitor $C_{\text {shunt }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial C_{\text {shunt }}}=j \omega \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the shunt admittance is a series-LC trap,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{s C_{t r a p}}+s L_{\text {trap }}}=\frac{j \omega C_{\text {trap }}}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {trap }}}\right)^{2}} \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial C_{\text {trap }}}=\frac{j \omega}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {trap }}}\right)^{2}} \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the series impedance is an inductance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Z}{\partial L_{\text {series }}}=j \omega \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where the series impedance is a series LC-trap (parallel $L$ and $C$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{1}{s C_{\text {trap }}+\frac{1}{s L_{\text {trap }}}}=\frac{j \omega L_{\text {trap }}}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {trap }}}\right)^{2}} \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Z}{\partial L_{t r a p}}=\frac{j \omega}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{t r a p}}\right)^{2}} \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed here that the trap resonant frequencies are known a priori as part of the transfer function approximation step. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{t r a p}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{L_{t r a p} C_{t r a p}}} \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this relationship can be used to eliminate one of the unknowns during the iterative calculations for each trap.

Recapping then, the needed partial derivatives are given by (146) where $v_{2}$ is available from (149) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}=\frac{1}{R_{1} C+\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} D+A+\frac{B}{R_{2}}} \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the partial derivatives with respect to $Y$ and $Z$ are given by (150) and (152) respectively. The chain rule must then be applied to these in order to translate them into partial derivatives with respect to $e_{n}$ per (153), (155), (156), or (158) as appropriate.

### 11.1 Iterative Calculation

Assume now that a power-gain transfer function goal $G_{g o a l}(f)$ is known and that a filter circuit topology has been chosen which contains the correct number of poles and zeros to realize this transfer function. The iterative calculation consists of using a sufficient number of (fixed) frequency points to enable a leastsquares solution to take place using Newton's Method in an iterative manner.

Table 13 Summary of Lowpass Section Types

| Type | Lowpass Section Type | Partial Derivative | ABCD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $C_{\text {shunt }} \bar{\sim}=$ | $\frac{\partial Y}{\partial C_{\text {shunt }}}=j \omega$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ j \omega C_{\text {shunt }} & 1\end{array}\right]$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{m}_{L_{\text {series }}}$ | $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial L_{\text {series }}}=j \omega \quad$ (163) | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & j \omega L_{\text {series }} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ |
| 3 |  | $\frac{\partial Y}{\partial C_{\text {trap }}}=\frac{j \omega}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {trap }}}\right)^{2}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \frac{j \omega C_{\text {trap }}}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {trap }}}\right)^{2}} & 1\end{array}\right]$ |


| Type | Lowpass Section <br> Type | Partial Derivative | ABCD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | (167) | $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial L_{\text {trap }}}=\frac{j \omega}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {trap }}}\right)^{2}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & \frac{j \omega L_{\text {trap }}}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text {trap }}}\right)^{2}} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \quad$ (168) |

Let the set of fixed evaluation frequencies be denoted by $f_{k}$ and the difference between the goal attenuation values and the ones at iteration- $k$ denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{e r r}\left(f_{k}\right)=G_{g o a l}\left(f_{k}\right)-G_{d B}\left(f_{k}\right) \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (non-square) matrix of partial derivatives has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\left[\frac{\partial G_{d B}\left(f_{k}\right)}{\partial U_{n}} \frac{\partial U_{n}}{\partial e_{n}}\right] \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix rows correspond to the different evaluation frequencies $f_{k}$ and the matrix columns correspond to the circuit element values being iterated. The element values after the $p^{t h}$ iteration are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{n}\right]_{p}=\left[e_{n}\right]_{p-1}+\gamma \operatorname{lms}\left(J_{p-1}, G_{e r r, p}\right) \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a numerical gain term having a magnitude $<1$ and Ims designates a least-mean-square solution for the matrix and vector involved.

Table 14 Normalized LC Values for $3^{\text {rd }}$-Order Unloaded Inverse Chebyshev Lowpass

| Stopband, <br> $\mathbf{d B}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 0.494 | 0.42 | 2.495 | 1.784 |
| 30 | 0.732 | 0.323 | 3.000 | 2.322 |
| 35 | 0.992 | 0.255 | 3.631 | 2.941 |
| 40 | 1.287 | 0.204 | 4.39 | 3.671 |
| 50 | 2.028 | 0.135 | 6.472 | 5.561 |

Table 15 Normalized LC Values for $5^{\text {th }}$-Order Unloaded Inverse Chebyshev Lowpass

| Stopband, <br> $\mathbf{d B}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 0.0021 | 0.4960 | 1.3204 | 0.6302 | 1.7103 | 0.6966 | 1.4351 |
| 40 | 0.1743 | 0.3385 | 1.7652 | 0.4419 | 2.1750 | 1.0205 | 2.0467 |
| 50 | 0.3533 | 0.2458 | 2.3203 | 0.3271 | 2.7592 | 1.4055 | 2.7647 |
| 60 | 0.5453 | 0.1852 | 3.0065 | 0.2495 | 3.4924 | 1.8654 | 3.6255 |

### 11.2 Appendix: MATLAB Script for Unloaded Case ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\infty$ )

The first portion of the script computes the poles and zeros of the inverse Chebyshev lowpass filter of interest. There are a number of calculations done pertaining to characteristic functions, etc.

```
%================== u22336_inverse_chebyshev_iterated_synthesis.m ===================
%
%
% J.A. Crawford
% 20 March 2014
%
% Earlier synthesis program appended with iteration-based design of
% 5th order inverse Chebyshev lpf as an unloaded LC network
% First attempt at using Orchard's iterative design technique and this
% example shows that it works well.
%
% A more general script is required if other load impedance values are
% needed, or if the order needs to be changed.
%
% Pretty cool, I must say. Anxious to incorporate this method into
% a general synthesis tool in C#. I've had need for being able to
% use an arbitrary load resistance on quite a few past occassions.
%
% Don't get good convergence for stopband attenuations less than about
% 30 dB for some reason. Otherwise, fantastic even up to 110 dB
% stopband attenuations. Found that the reason is that C1 must be allowed
% to go negative for these lower stopband attenuations.
%
fil_order= 5;
Astop_dB= 80;
jx= i;
Astop= 10^(0.1*Astop_dB);
epsilon= sqrt(1/(Astop-1));
odd_order= (mod(fil_order,2)==1); % 1 if odd-order, otherwise 0
%
% Computes poles and zeros of inverse Chebyshev
%
aa= sinh((1/fil_order)*asinh(1/epsilon) );
bb= cosh((1/fil_order)*asinh(1/epsilon));
%
nden= fil_order;
nnum= floor(fil_order/2);
kk=1:nden;
theta= (2*kk-1)*pi/(2**il_order);
cheby_poles= -aa*sin(theta) + jx*bb*cos(theta)
kk=1:nnum;
inv_cheby_poles=1./cheby_poles; % All poles in the left-half plane
inv_cheby_zeros= jx./cos( (2*kk-1)*pi/(2*fil_order) );
inv_cheby_zeros(nnum+kk)= conj(inv_cheb\overline{y_zeros(kk)); % All zeros on jw axis}
gam= 1;
for jk=1:length(inv_cheby_poles)
    gam= gam * inv_cheby_poles(jk);
```

```
end
for jk=1:length(inv_cheby_zeros)
    gam= gam / inv_cheby_zeros(jk);
end
%
% Sweep filter
%
Npts= 512*4;
fswp= 10.^(-3+5*(1:Npts)/Npts);
Hfil= zeros(1,Npts);
tau= zeros(1,Npts);
for jk=1:Npts
    Hcas= 1;
    taux=0;
    ss= i*2*pi*fswp(jk);
    for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_zeros)
        Hcas= Hcas *(ss - inv_cheby_zeros(ii));
    end
    for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_poles)
            Hcas= Hcas / (ss - inv_cheby_poles(ii));
            px= -real(inv_cheby_poles(ii) );
            py= imag( inv_cheby_poles(ii) );
            taux= taux + \overline{px/(px^2 + (abs(ss)-py)^2);}
end
Hfil(jk)= 10*log10( abs(gam*Hcas)^2 );
tau(jk)= taux;
end
figure(1);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, Hfil, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Gain, dB', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
title( 'Inverse Chebyshev', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
axis( [0.001, 100, -80, 10] );
%
% Look at filter group delay
%
figure(2);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, tau, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Group Delay', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
title( 'Inverse Chebyshev', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize',}14 )
%axis( [0.01, 100, -80, 0] );
%
%===========================================================================
%
%
%
% Form H(s) polynomial
```

```
%
%
%
H_num= 1;
for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_zeros)
    H_num= conv([1 -inv_cheby_zeros(ii)], H_num );
end
H_den= 1;
for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_poles)
    H_den= conv([1 -inv_cheby_poles(ii)], H_den );
end
Hx= gam*polyval( H_num, jx*2*pi*fswp ) ./ polyval( H_den, jx*2*pi*fswp );
figure(3);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, 10*log10( abs(Hx).^2 ), 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
title( 'Check on H(w) Using Poles & Zeros', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Group Delay', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
%
%=================================================================================
%================================================================================
%
%
% Form Characteristic Function K(s)
%
%
K2= abs(1./Hx).^2-1; % Transducer gain is 1/Hx here
K2_dB= 10*log10( K2 );
figure(4);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, K2_dB, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
title( '|K(lomega)|^2', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
%
% H(s)= gam * [H_num]/[H_den]
%
% |H(s)|^2 = gam*gam *[H_num]*[H_num] / ([H_den]*[H_den] )
%
% |T(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2=|1/H(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2=1+|K(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2
%
% |T(s)|^2 = [H_den]*[H_den]/(gam^2 * [H_num]*[H_num] )
% = [p_num]/[p_den]
%
p_den= gam*gam*}\operatorname{conv(H_num, H_num)
%
% H_num only has even-power polynomial coeffs whereas
% H_den has both, so must take care of conjugation (i.e., negation)
of odd-power polynomial coefficients
%
H_den2= H_den;
foror ii=length(H_den)-1:-2:1 H_den2(ii)= -H_den2(ii); end
p_num= conv(H_den, H_den2)
%
```

```
% Check this form for |T(s)|^2
%
if(0)
    T2check= polyval(p_num, jx*2*pi*fswp) ./ polyval(p_den, jx*2*pi*fswp);
    hold on
    p1= semilogx( fswp, 10*log10( abs(T2check)), 'ko' );
end
%
% Form numerator polynomial for |K(s)|}\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2=|T(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2-
%
p_wrk= p_num;
lx= length(p_wrk)-length(p_den)+1;
jk= length(p_den);
for ii=length(p_wrk):-1:lx
    p_wrk(ii)= p_wrk(ii) - p_den(jk);
        jk= jk - 1;
end
p_wrk= real(p_wrk)
figure(5);
clf;
K2= polyval( p_wrk, jx*2*pi*fswp ) ./ polyval( p_den, jx*2*pi*fswp );
p1= semilogx( fswp, 10*log10( abs(K2) ), 'k' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
title( '|K|^2 From Polynomials','FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
%
% Factor K^2
%
K2_num_roots= roots( p_wrk );
K2_den_roots= roots(p_den );
figure(6);
clf;
plot( real(K2_num_roots), imag(K2_num_roots), 'ro' );
title( 'Roots of |K|^2 Numerator' );
grid on
%
% Retain only left-plane roots
%
K_num= 1;
mm=1;
for ii=1:length(K2_num_roots)
    if(real(K2_num_roots(ii)) <= 0.001 )
            K_num= conv([1 -K2_num_roots(ii)], K_num );
            K_num_lhp_roots(mm)= K2_num_roots(ii);
            mm= mm+1;
        end
end
K_den= H_num
K_num=K_\_num
figure(7);
clf;
K= (1/gam)*
p1= semilogx(fswp, 10*log10( abs(K).^2 ), 'b-' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
title( 'Final K(lomega)','FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
```

```
ylabel( 'dB', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
axis( [0.0001, max(fswp), -60, 80] );
disp( 'Characteristic Function Numerator:' );
disp( num2str( real(K_num), '%5.4e ' ) );
disp( 'Denominator:' );
disp( num2str( real(K_den), '%5.4e ' ) );
K_num_lhp_roots
K2_den_roots
%===========================================================================
%
% Iteratively design filter
%
% Focus on 5th order filter here
%
C1= 0.5;
C2=0.25;
C3=3.0;
C4=0.25;
C5= 3.0;
wo1= 1.7013;
wo2= 1.0515;
L1= 1/(C2*wo1*wo1);
L2= 1/(C4*wo2*wo2);
freqs= 0.001*10.^(4*(0:50)/50);
Nfreqs=length(freqs);
abcd1= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C1 1 ];
abcd2=@(s)[1 1/(s*C2+1/(s*L1)); 0 1];
abcd3= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C3 1 ];
abcd4= @(s) [ 1 1/(s*C4+1/(s*L2)); 0 1];
abcd5=@(s)[1 0; s*C5 1 ];
err= 0;
figure(100);
clf;
PD= zeros(Nfreqs,5); % Partial derivatives
clear g1;
clear g2;
for iter= 1:40
    for ff=1:Nfreqs
        g1(ff)= gam*polyval( H_num, jx*2*pi*freqs(ff) ) ./ polyval( H_den, jx*2*pi*freqs(ff) );
        g1(ff)= 10* log10( abs(g1(ff))^2 );
        ss= jx*2*pi*freqs(ff);
        abcd= abcd1(ss) * abcd2(ss) * abcd3(ss) * ...
        abcd4(ss) * abcd5(ss);
    g2(ff)= 1/(abcd(1,1) + abcd(2,1));
    g2(ff)= 10*log10( abs(g2(ff))^2 );
    dg(ff)= g1(ff) - g2(ff);
    if( abs(dg(ff)) > 10 )
        dg(ff)= 10*sign(dg(ff));
    end
    %
    % Get partial derivatives for this frequency
        and for each element value
    %
```

```
    % Cap C1
    %
    ss= jx*2*pi*freqs(ff);
    abcd= abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
    apc= (-20/log(10)) / ( abcd(1,1) + abcd(2,1) );
    M1= [ 1 0; 0 1];
    M2= abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
    PD(ff,1)= ( M1 (1,2)*M2(1,1) + M2(1,1)*M1(2,2) )*ss*apc;
    %
    % First LC trap
    %
    M1= abcd1(ss);
    M2= abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
    PD(ff,2)=( M1(1,1)*M2(2,1) + M1(2,1)*M2(2,1) )*ss/( 1-abs(ss/wo1)^2 )*apc;
    %
    % Cap C3
%
M1= abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss);
M2= abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
PD(ff,3)=( M1(1,2)*M2(1,1) + M2(1,1)*M1(2,2) )*ss*apc;
    %
    % Second LC trap
    %
    M1= abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss);
    M2= abcd5(ss);
    PD(ff,4)=( M1 (1,1)*M2(2,1) + M1(2,1)*M2(2,1) )*ss/( 1-abs(ss/wo2)^2 )*apc;
    %
    % Cap C5
    %
    M1 = abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss);
    M2= [1 0; 0 1 ];
    PD(ff,5)=( M1(1,2)*M2(1,1) + M2(1,1)*M1(2,2) )*ss*apc;
end
%
% Update element values
%
de= Iscov(real((PD)),dg');
gamma= 0.25;
C1= abs(C1 + gamma*de(1));
L1= abs(L1 + gamma*de(2));
C3= abs(C3 + gamma*de(3));
L2= abs(L2 + gamma*de(4));
C5= abs(C5 + gamma*de(5));
C2= abs(1/(wo1^2*L1));
C4= abs(1/(wo2^2*L2));
abcd1= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C1 1 ];
abcd2= @(s) [ 1 1/(s*C2+1/(s*L1)); 0 1];
abcd3= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C3 1 ];
abcd4= @(s) [ 1 1/(s*C4+1/(s*L2)); 0 1];
abcd5= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C5 1 ];
semilogx( freqs, g1, 'r' );
```

```
    hold on
    semilogx( freqs, g2, 'k--' );
    hold on
end
figure(200);
clf;
for ii=1:Npts
    ss= jx*2*pi*fswp(ii);
    abcd= abcd1(ss) * abcd2(ss) * abcd3(ss) * ...
        abcd4(ss) * abcd5(ss);
    gn(ii)= 10* log10( abs(1/(abcd(1,1) + abcd(2,1)))^2 );
    g1(ii)= gam*polyval( H_num, ss ) ./ polyval( H_den, ss );
        g1(ii)= 10* log10( abs(g1(ii))^2 );
end
axes( 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
p1= semilogx( fswp, gn, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
hold on
p1= semilogx( fswp, g1, 'k--' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
xlabel( 'Normalized Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Gain, dB', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
title( 'Original Versus Modified Filter Gain', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
legend( 'Iterative Design Result', 'Ideal from Poles & Zeros' );
C1
C2
C3
C4
C5
L1
L2
```


### 11.3 Appendix: MATLAB Script for Unequally Terminated Case (Arbitrary $R_{2}$ )

```
%================ u22345_inverse_chebyshev_iterated_synthesis.m ===================
%
% Same as u22336 inverse_chebyshev iterated_synthesis.m except that
% arbitrary load impedance can be specified
%
% J.A. Crawford
% 23 March 2014
%
% Earlier synthesis program appended with iteration-based design of
% 5th order inverse Chebyshev Ipf as an unloaded LC network
% First attempt at using Orchard's iterative design technique and this
example shows that it works well.
A more general script is required if other load impedance values are
needed, or if the order needs to be changed.
Pretty cool, I must say. Anxious to incorporate this method into
a general synthesis tool in C#. I've had need for being able to
use an arbitrary load resistance on quite a few past occassions.
Don't get good convergence for stopband attenuations less than about
30 dB for some reason. Otherwise, fantastic even up to 110 dB
stopband attenuations. Found that the reason is that C1 must be allowed
to go negative for these lower stopband attenuations.
%
fil_order= 5;
Astop_dB=60;
jx= i;
Astop= 10^(0.1*Astop_dB);
epsilon= sqrt(1/(Astop-1));
odd_order= (mod(fil_order,2)==1); % 1 if odd-order, otherwise 0
%
% Computes poles and zeros of inverse Chebyshev
%
aa= sinh((1/fil_order)*asinh(1/epsilon) );
bb= cosh((1/fil_order)*asinh(1/epsilon));
%
nden= fil_order;
nnum= floor(fil_order/2);
kk=1:nden;
theta= (2*kk-1)*pi/(2*fil_order);
cheby_poles= -aa*sin(theta) + jx*bb*cos(theta)
kk=1:nnum;
inv_cheby_poles=1./cheby_poles; % All poles in the left-half plane
inv_cheby_zeros= jx./cos( (2*kk-1)*pi/(2*fil_order) );
inv_cheby_zeros(nnum+kk)= conj(inv_cheby_zeros(kk)); % All zeros on jw axis
gam=1;
for jk=1:length(inv_cheby_poles)
    gam= gam * inv_cheby_poles(jk);
end
for jk=1:length(inv_cheby_zeros)
    gam= gam / inv_cheby_zeros(jk);
```

```
end
%
% Sweep filter
%
Npts= 512*4;
fswp= 10.^(-3+5*(1:Npts)/Npts);
Hfil= zeros(1,Npts);
tau= zeros(1,Npts);
for jk=1:Npts
    Hcas= 1;
    taux= 0;
    ss= i*2*pi*fswp(jk);
    for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_zeros)
        Hcas= Hcas * (ss - inv_cheby_zeros(ii));
    end
    for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_poles)
            Hcas= Hcas / (ss - inv_cheby_poles(ii));
            px= -real(inv_cheby_poles(ii) );
            py= imag( inv_cheby_poles(ii) );
            taux= taux + \overline{px/(px^2 + (abs(ss)-py)^2);}
    end
    Hfil(jk)= 10*log10( abs(gam*Hcas)^2 );
    tau(jk)= taux;
end
figure(1);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, Hfil, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Gain, dB', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
title( 'Inverse Chebyshev', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
axis( [0.001, 100, -80, 10] );
%
% Look at filter group delay
%
figure(2);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, tau, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Group Delay', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
title( 'Inverse Chebyshev', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
%axis( [0.01, 100, -80, 0] );
%
%==========================================================================
%
%
%
% Form H(s) polynomial
%
%
%
```

```
H_num= 1;
for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_zeros)
    H_num= conv([1 -inv_cheby_zeros(ii)], H_num );
end
H_den= 1;
for ii=1:length(inv_cheby_poles)
    H_den= conv([ [1 -inv_cheby_poles(ii)], H_den );
end
Hx= gam*polyval( H_num, jx*2*pi*fswp ) ./ polyval( H_den, jx*2*pi*fswp );
figure(3);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, 10*log10( abs(Hx).^2 ), 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
title( 'Check on H(w) Using Poles & Zeros', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Group Delay', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
%
%==================================================================================
%=============================================================================
%
%
% Form Characteristic Function K(s)
%
%
K2= abs(1./Hx).^2-1; % Transducer gain is 1/Hx here
K2_dB= 10*log10( K2 );
figure(4);
clf;
p1= semilogx( fswp, K2_dB, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
title( 'IK(lomega)|^2', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
%
% H(s)= gam * [H_num]/[H_den]
%
% |H(s)|^2 = gam*gam *[H_num]*[H_num] / ([H_den]*[H_den] )
%
% |T(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2=|1/H(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2=1+|K(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2
%
% |T(s)|^2 = [H_den]*[H_den]/(gam^2 * [H_num]*[H_num] )
% = [p_num]/[p_den]
%
p_den= gam*gam*}\operatorname{conv(H_num, H_num)
%
% H_num only has even-power polynomial coeffs whereas
% H_den has both, so must take care of conjugation (i.e., negation)
% of odd-power polynomial coefficients
%
H_den2= H_den;
for}\mathrm{ ii=length(H_den)-1:-2:1 H_den2(ii)= -H_den2(ii); end
p_num= conv(H_den, H_den2)
%
% Check this form for |T(s)|^2
%
if(0)
```

```
    T2check= polyval(p_num, jx*2*pi*fswp) ./ polyval(p_den, jx*2*pi*fswp);
    hold on
    p1= semilogx( fswp, 10*log10( abs(T2check)), 'ko' );
end
%
% Form numerator polynomial for |K(s)|}\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}=|T(s)\mp@subsup{|}{}{\wedge}2-
%
p_wrk= p_num;
lx= length(p_wrk)-length(p_den)+1;
jk= length(p_den);
for ii=length(p_wrk):-1:lx
    p_wrk(ii)= p_wrk(ii) - p_den(jk);
    jk= jk - 1;
end
p_wrk= real(p_wrk)
figure(5);
clf;
K2= polyval( p_wrk, jx*2*pi*fswp ) ./ polyval( p_den, jx*2*pi*fswp );
p1= semilogx( fswp, 10*log10( abs(K2) ), 'k' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
title( '|K|^2 From Polynomials','FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
%
% Factor K^2
%
K2_num_roots= roots( p_wrk );
K2_den_roots= roots(p_den );
figure(6);
clf;
plot( real(K2_num_roots), imag(K2_num_roots), 'ro' );
title( 'Roots of |K|^2 Numerator' );
grid on
%
% Retain only left-plane roots
%
K_num= 1;
mm=1;
for ii=1:length(K2_num_roots)
    if(real(K2_num_roots(ii)) <= 0.001 )
            K_num= conv([1 -K2_num_roots(ii)], K_num );
            K_num_lhp_roots(mm)= K2_num_roots(ii);
            mm= mm+1;
        end
end
K_den= H_num
K_num=K___num
figure(7);
clf;
K= (1/gam)*polyval( K_num, jx*2*pi*fswp ) ./ polyval( K_den, jx*2*pi*fswp );
p1= semilogx(fswp, 10*log10( abs(K).^2 ), 'b-' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
title( 'Final K(lomega)','FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 14 );
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
xlabel( 'Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'dB', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
axis([0.0001, max(fswp), -60, 80] );
```

```
disp( 'Characteristic Function Numerator:' );
disp( num2str( real(K_num), '%5.4e ' ) );
disp( 'Denominator:' );
disp( num2str( real(K_den), '%5.4e ' ) );
K_num_lhp_roots
K2_den_roots
%============================================================================
%
% Iteratively design filter
%
% Focus on 5th order filter here
%
R1= 1; % Source impedance
R2= 0.5; % Load impedance
C1= 0.5;
C2= 0.25;
C3= 3.1;
C4= 0.25;
C5= 3.2;
wo1= 1.7013;
wo2= 1.0515;
L1= 1/(C2*wo1*wo1);
L2= 1/(C4*wo2*wo2);
freqs= 0.01*10.^(3*(0:75)/75);
Nfreqs=length(freqs);
abcd1= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C1 1 ];
abcd2=@(s)[1 1/(s*C2+1/(s*L1)); 0 1];
abcd3= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C3 1 ];
abcd4= @(s) [ 1 1/(s*C4+1/(s*L2)); 0 1];
abcd5= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C5 1 ];
err= 0;
figure(100);
clf;
PD= zeros(Nfreqs,5); % Partial derivatives
clear g1;
clear g2;
for iter= 1:60
    for ff=1:Nfreqs
        g1(ff)= gam*polyval( H_num, jx*2*pi*freqs(ff) ) ./ polyval( H_den, jx*2*pi*freqs(ff) ) * R2/(R1+R2);
        g1(ff)= 10* log10( abs(g1(ff))^2 );
        ss= jx*2*pi*freqs(ff);
        abcd= abcd1(ss) * abcd2(ss) * abcd3(ss) * ...
        abcd4(ss) * abcd5(ss);
    g2(ff)= 1/(abcd(1,1) + abcd(1,2)/R2 + R1*abcd(2,1) +(R1/R2)*abcd(2,2) );
    g2(ff)= 10* log10( abs(g2(ff))^2 );
    dg(ff)= g1(ff) - g2(ff);
    if( abs(dg(ff)) > 10 )
        dg(ff)= 10*sign(dg(ff));
    end
    %
    % Get partial derivatives for this frequency
    % and for each element value
    %
```

```
    % Cap C1
%
ss= jx*2*pi*freqs(ff);
    abcd= abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
    apc= (-20/log(10)) / (abcd(1,1) + abcd(1,2)/R2 + R1*abcd(2,1) +(R1/R2)*abcd(2,2) );
    M1= [ 1 0; 0 1];
    M2= abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
        PD(ff,1)= ( R1*M1(2,2)*M2(1,1) + (R1/R2)*M1(2,2)*M2(1,2) + M1(1,2)*M2(1,1) + (1/R2)*M1(1,2)*M2(1,2)
)*ss*apc;
    %
    % First LC trap
    %
M1 = abcd1(ss);
M2= abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
        PD(ff,2)= (R1*M1(2,1)*M2(2,1) + (R1/R2)*M1(2,1)*M2(2,2) + M1(1,1)*M2(2,1) + (1/R2)*M1(1,1)*M2(2,2)
)*ss/( 1 - abs(ss/wo1)^2 )*apc;
    %
    % Cap C3
    %
    M1= abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss);
    M2= abcd4(ss)*abcd5(ss);
        PD(ff,3)= ( R1*M1(2,2)*M2(1,1) + (R1/R2)*M1(2,2)*M2(1,2) + M1(1,2)*M2(1,1) + (1/R2)*M1(1,2)*M2(1,2)
)*ss*apc;
    %
    % Second LC trap
    %
    M1= abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss);
    M2= abcd5(ss);
        PD(ff,4)= (R1*M1(2,1)*M2(2,1) + (R1/R2)*M1(2,1)*M2(2,2) + M1(1,1)*M2(2,1) + (1/R2)*M1(1,1)*M2(2,2)
)*ss/( 1-abs(ss/wo2)^2 )*apc;
    %
    % Cap C5
    %
    M1= abcd1(ss)*abcd2(ss)*abcd3(ss)*abcd4(ss);
    M2= [1 1 0; 01 1];
        PD(ff,5)= ( R1*M1(2,2)*M2(1,1) + (R1/R2)*M1(2,2)*M2(1,2) + M1(1,2)*M2(1,1) + (1/R2)*M1(1,2)*M2(1,2)
)*ss*apc;
    end
    %
    % Update element values
    %
    de= Iscov(real((PD)),dg');
    gamma= 0.25;
    C1= (C1 + gamma*de(1));
    L1= (L1 + gamma*de(2));
    C3= (C3 + gamma*de(3));
    L2= (L2 + gamma*de(4));
    C5= (C5 + gamma*de(5));
    C2= abs(1/(wo1^2*L1));
    C4= abs(1/(wo2^2*L2));
    abcd1= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C1 1 ];
    abcd2= @(s) [ 1 1/(s*C2+1/(s*L1)); 0 1];
```

```
    abcd3= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C3 1 ];
    abcd4=@(s) [ 1 1/(s*C4+1/(s*L2)); 0 1];
    abcd5= @(s) [ 1 0; s*C5 1 ];
    semilogx( freqs, g1, 'r' );
    hold on
    semilogx( freqs, g2, 'k--' );
    hold on
end
figure(200);
clf;
for ii=1:Npts
    ss= jx*2*pi*fswp(ii);
    abcd= abcd1(ss) * abcd2(ss) * abcd3(ss) * ...
        abcd4(ss) * abcd5(ss);
    gn(ii)= 10* log10(abs(1/(abcd(1,1) + abcd(1,2)/R2 + R1*abcd(2,1) +(R1/R2)*abcd(2,2) ))^2 );
    g1(ii)= gam*polyval( H_num, ss ) ./ polyval( H_den, ss ) *(R2/(R1+R2));
        g1(ii)= 10* 足10(abs(g1(ii))^2 );
end
axes( 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
p1= semilogx( fswp, gn, 'r' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
hold on
p1= semilogx( fswp, g1, 'k--' );
set( p1, 'LineWidth', 2 );
h= gca;
set( h, 'LineWidth', 2 );
grid on
xlabel( 'Normalized Frequency, Hz', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
ylabel( 'Voltage Gain, dB', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
title( 'Original Versus Modified Filter Gain', 'FontName', 'Arial', 'FontSize', 12 );
legend( 'Iterative Design Result', 'Ideal from Poles & Zeros' );
C1
C2
C3
C4
C5
L1
L2
```


## 12 Candidate Network Circuit Topologies

poles at infinity, poles at zero, finite poles, zeros, etc. and LC networks
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## 14 Appendix I: Group Delay Based Using Hilbert Transforms

The phase response of an all-pole filter (e.g., Butterworth, Chebyshev, Bessel, etc.) can be computed from the amplitude response by way of the Hilbert transform. Given a transfer function $H(\omega)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(j \omega)=A(\omega) e^{-j \theta(\omega)}=e^{-\alpha(\omega)} e^{-j \theta(\omega)} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha()$ and $\theta()$ form a Hilbert transform pair as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(\omega)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha(v)}{\omega-v} d v  \tag{8.2}\\
& \alpha(\omega)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\theta(v)}{\omega-v} d v
\end{align*}
$$

Focusing on the first portion of (8.2) and noting that $\alpha()$ is an even function of $v$, this can be re-written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\omega)=-\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha(v)}{\omega^{2}-v^{2}} d v \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to deal with the denominator singularity at $v=\omega$, (8.3) can be broken into a left-hand and righthand side integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\omega) \cong-\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\omega-\delta \omega} \frac{\alpha(v)}{\omega^{2}-v^{2}} d v-\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \int_{\omega+\delta \omega}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha(v)}{\omega^{2}-v^{2}} d v \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \omega$ is chosen appropriately small.
The group delay calculation involves the first derivative of $\theta$ with respect to time, and while it is tempting to perform this calculation by bringing a differential operator underneath the integrals in (8.4), doing so is illegal in this case because the integration and differentiation operations are not interchangeable. To see this more clearly, consider the portion of (8.4) which has been left out of the integration range in (8.3), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\delta \theta\right|_{\omega}=-\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \int_{\omega-\delta \omega}^{\omega+\delta \omega} \frac{\alpha(v)}{\omega^{2}-v^{2}} d v=-\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \int_{\omega-\delta \omega}^{\omega+\delta \omega} \frac{\alpha(v)}{(\omega-v)(\omega+v)} d v \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\delta \omega \ll \omega$ and slowly-changing $\alpha(\omega)$, this can be closely approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\delta \theta\right|_{\omega} \cong-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega-\delta \omega}^{\omega+\delta \omega} \frac{\alpha(v)}{(\omega-v)} d v \rightarrow-\frac{\alpha(\omega)}{\pi} \int_{\omega-\delta \omega}^{\omega+\delta \omega} \frac{d v}{\omega-v} \rightarrow-\frac{\alpha(\omega)}{\pi} \int_{-\delta \omega}^{\delta \omega} \frac{d u}{u} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form, the singularity is still present, but since the integrand is an odd-function of $v$, as $\delta \omega \rightarrow 0$, so does $\delta \theta$.

Temporarily assuming that the order of differentiation and integration can be interchanged in computing the group delay from (8.3), the computation begins as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g}(\omega)=-\frac{d}{d \omega} \theta(\omega)=\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{d}{d \omega}\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha(v) \omega}{\omega^{2}-v^{2}} d v\right] \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying out the differentiation underneath the integral leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g}(\omega)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(v) \frac{1-2 \omega^{2}}{\left(\omega^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{2}} d v \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the integrand is an even-function of $v$ and there can be no convergence of the integral near the singularity. Since a group delay function does in fact exist for any given filter, the non-convergence of (8.8) is a restatement that integration and differentiation in (8.7) is not valid in this case.

## 15 Appendix II: Additional Design Notes

### 15.1 Butterworth Filter Design Parameters

There are 5 degrees of freedom for Butterworth filter design: (i) filter order $N$, (ii) filter passband ( -3 dB ) frequency $f_{\text {pass }}$, (iii) maximum passband attenuation $A_{\text {pass }}$, (iv) filter stopband frequency $f_{\text {stop }}$, and (v) filter stopband attenuation $A_{\text {stop. }}$. The passband frequency $F_{\text {pass }}$ and passband attenuation $A_{\text {pass }}$ are assumed to be fixed thereby leaving 3 remaining degrees of freedom. Only 2 of the 3 remaining parameters can be chosen independently. The fundamental design equation is given by (3.8) which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\min } \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log _{e}\left(\frac{10^{A_{\text {soop }} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1}\right)}{\log _{e}\left(\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}\right)} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\text {pass }}=3 \mathrm{~dB}$ is assumed and $f_{\text {pass }}$ is assumed known.

### 15.1.1 Butterworth Filter Shape Factor Given $\boldsymbol{A}_{\text {stop }}$ and $\boldsymbol{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2 N} \log _{e}\left(\frac{10^{A_{\text {stop }} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1}\right)\right] \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 15.1.2 Butterworth Stopband Attenuation Given $f_{\text {stop }}$ and $\boldsymbol{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {stop }}=10 \log _{10}\left\{1+\exp \left[2 N \log _{e}\left(\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}\right)-\log _{e}\left(10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1\right)\right]\right\} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 15.2 Chebyshev Filter Design Parameters

The Chebyshev filter case has the same degrees of freedom except that $f_{\text {pass }}$ is the passband ripple bandwidth and $A_{\text {pass }}$ is the passband ripple. The key design equation is (4.18) which is rewritten here as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \geq \frac{\cosh ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{10^{A_{\text {soop }} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1}}\right)}{\cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}\right)} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

15.2.1 Chebyshev Filter Shape Factor Given $A_{\text {pass, }}, A_{\text {stop }}$ and $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}=\cosh \left[\frac{1}{N} \cosh ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{10^{A_{\text {stop }} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1}}\right)\right] \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

15.2.2 Chebyshev Passband Ripple Given $f_{\text {stop }}, A_{\text {stop }}$, and $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {pass }}=10 \log _{10}\left\{1+\left[\frac{\sqrt{10^{A_{\text {stop }} / 10}-1}}{\cosh \left[N \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}\right)\right]}\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

15.2.3 Chebyshev Stopband Attenuation Given $A_{p a s s,} f_{\text {stop, }}$ and $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {stop }}=10 \log _{10}\left\{1+\left[\sqrt{10^{A_{\text {pass }} / 10}-1} \cosh \left[N \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{f_{\text {stop }}}{f_{\text {pass }}}\right)\right]\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 16 Appendix III: Detailed Examples

### 16.1 Odd-Order Elliptical Lowpass Filters

Odd-ordered elliptical lowpass filters are reasonably simple to design because they are symmetric and naturally lead to equal termination impedances. The design examples will consider a $7^{\text {th }}$-order filter design case where the passband ripple bandwidth $\left(\omega_{p}\right)$ is 10 kHz , and $\theta=50^{\circ}$ corresponding to a stopband frequency of $\omega_{s}=\omega_{p} / \sin (\theta)=1.30541 \mathrm{kHz}$. A maximum reflection coefficient magnitude of $20 \%$ will be assumed which is equivalent to a passband ripple of 0.177288 dB .

### 16.1.1 N=7 Elliptic Lowpass with Equal Terminations



Figure 103 Design parameters for $\mathrm{N}=7$ elliptic lowpass filter with $20 \%$ reflection coefficient


Figure 104 Attenuation sweep of equally-terminated elliptic filter example from Figure 103
Filter Order = 7
Passband, Hz = 10000
Ripple, dB = 0.177288
Stopband, Hz = 13054.1
Passive filter implementation
Rsource $=50$
Rload $=50$

PR 5.000000E+001 (source resistance)
SL 9.816883E-004 (series inductor)
PX 9.688382E-005 4.022705E-007 (shunt series LC section)
SL 1.377422E-003 (series inductor)
PX 4.747137E-004 3.031899E-007 (shunt series LC section)
SL 1.238800E-003 (series inductor)
PX 3.370385E-004 3.135453E-007 (shunt series LC section)
SL 7.951374E-004 (series inductor)
PR 5.000000E+001 (load resistance)

### 16.2 Even-Order Elliptical Lowpass Filters

Even-order elliptical lowpass filters are not immediately realizable in a passive LC-form because they require at least one negative inductor or capacitor. Comments to this effect were made earlier in §10.3. Examples of two different elliptic filter types are given in the following sections.

### 16.3 N=8 Elliptic Lowpass Type-B



Figure 105 Design parameters for $\mathrm{N}=8$ elliptic lowpass filter with $20 \%$ reflection coefficient, type-b filter
Passband, $\mathrm{Hz}=10000$
Ripple, $\mathrm{dB}=0.177288$
Stopband, $\mathrm{Hz}=13054.1$
Voltage In / Out transfer function
Rsource $=50$
Rload $=75.00$
PR 5.000000E+001
SL 9.474761E-004
PX 1.399023E-004 3.856322E-007
SL 1.368103E-003
PX 4.498240E-004 3.141356E-007
SL 1.270473E-003
PX 3.199543E-004 3.469115E-007
SL 1.415828E-003
PC $2.871549 \mathrm{E}-007$
PR 7.500003E+001

### 16.4 N=8 Elliptic Lowpass Type-C



Figure 106 Design parameters for $\mathrm{N}=8$ elliptic lowpass filter with $20 \%$ reflection coefficient, type-c filter
Passband, $\mathrm{Hz}=10000$
Ripple, $\mathrm{dB}=0.177288$
Stopband, Hz = 13054.1
Voltage In / Out transfer function
Rsource $=50$
Rload $=50$
PR 5.000000E+001
SL 8.428348E-004
PX 1.210528E-004 4.261658E-007
SL 1.191686E-003
PX 3.597828E-004 3.829025E-007
SL 1.031433E-003
PX 2.379126E-004 4.517730E-007
SL 1.040827E-003
PC 3.818705E-007
PR 5.000000E+001

## 17 Appendix IV：Amstutz Elliptic Filter Design Programs

Amstutz［11］wrote a now－classic paper about elliptic filter design using small computers in 1978．The paper contains a wealth of knowledge for anyone who wants to understand the inner－workings of elliptic filter design．Aside from originally being written in Fortran with many go－to statements and limited－length variable names，the code contains very few comments and a number of very clever computational tricks which make the code very tight and efficient．These same attributes make the code fairly complicated to unravel back to more meaningful high－level equations，however．This appendix exposes many of these details for the antimetric filter design program case．A copy of Amstutz＇s original paper is assumed available and many references are made to its content herein．Amstutz uses $i$ to represent the square－ root of -1 in his paper whereas $j=\sqrt{-1}$ is used in the discussions which follow．

The original Fortran code in［11］lacks good clarity due to the very small font used．The translation of this code into Pet Basic done by Cuthbert in［3］is far more legible and is consequently adopted here for the discussions which follow．

```
20 PRINT "ANTIMET ELLIP FLTR,CT12/78,1008"
```

20 PRINT "ANTIMET ELLIP FLTR,CT12/78,1008"
1030 DIM B(16),C(16),D(16),E(30),F(16),R(15),S(15),DB(16),TB(16)
1030 DIM B(16),C(16),D(16),E(30),F(16),R(15),S(15),DB(16),TB(16)
1030 DIM B(16),C(16),D(16),E(30)
1030 DIM B(16),C(16),D(16),E(30)
1050 PRINT
1050 PRINT
1060 PRINT"REJECTION,RIPPLE (DB),1/2-DEG(2-15),TYPE (A,B,OR C):"
1060 PRINT"REJECTION,RIPPLE (DB),1/2-DEG(2-15),TYPE (A,B,OR C):"
1070 INPUT AS,AP,M,T\$
1070 INPUT AS,AP,M,T\$
1080 IF AS<=AP THEN STOP
1080 IF AS<=AP THEN STOP
1090 N=2*M
1090 N=2*M
2010 ES=EXP (DN\&AS)-1
2010 ES=EXP (DN\&AS)-1
2020 EP=EXP (DNKAP) -1
2020 EP=EXP (DNKAP) -1
2030 E=SQR(ES/EP) +SQR(ES/EP-1)
2030 E=SQR(ES/EP) +SQR(ES/EP-1)
2040 U=PI\#PI/ (2*LOG(V+V))
2040 U=PI\#PI/ (2*LOG(V+V))
2050 V=V/(SQR (ES)+SQR (ES+1))
2050 V=V/(SQR (ES)+SQR (ES+1))
2080 W=U\&LN(W)/COS (W):AO=W:W=W*W
2080 W=U\&LN(W)/COS (W):AO=W:W=W*W
2080 Y=EXP (-U):z=Y:K=M-1
2080 Y=EXP (-U):z=Y:K=M-1
2090 FOR J=1TON
2090 FOR J=1TON
2100 E(J)=1:NEXT J
2100 E(J)=1:NEXT J
2110 FORJ=1TO1024
2110 FORJ=1TO1024
2120 IF K<>M GOTO2150
2120 IF K<>M GOTO2150
lol
lol
2140 AO=AO*(W+X)/(1+W\&X)
2140 AO=AO*(W+X)/(1+W\&X)
2150 E(K)=E(K)*(1-2)/(1+Z)
2150 E(K)=E(K)*(1-2)/(1+Z)
160 Z=Z*Y\& (F) 25E-18 GOTO2180
160 Z=Z*Y\& (F) 25E-18 GOTO2180
2165 K=K-1
2165 K=K-1
2170 IFK=0
2170 IFK=0
2180 E(M)=O:E(N)=E(N)*E(N)
2180 E(M)=O:E(N)=E(N)*E(N)
2190 PRINT"U"";U;"AD=";AO;"EP=";E(N
2190 PRINT"U"";U;"AD=";AO;"EP=";E(N
2200 FOR J=1TOM-1
2200 FOR J=1TOM-1
2210 E(J)=-E(J)*E(N-J)
2210 E(J)=-E(J)*E(N-J)
2220 PRINT"E=";-E(J)
2220 PRINT"E=";-E(J)
2230 E(N-J)=-E(J):NEXT J
2230 E(N-J)=-E(J):NEXT J
2250 X=SQR(AO*AO+1/(AO*AO)+E(N) \&E(N)+1/(E(N)*E(N)))
2250 X=SQR(AO*AO+1/(AO*AO)+E(N) \&E(N)+1/(E(N)*E(N)))
2260 FOR J=1 TOM-1STEP2:K=(J+1)/2
2260 FOR J=1 TOM-1STEP2:K=(J+1)/2
2270 Y=AO*E(J):Y=Y+1/Y
2270 Y=AO*E(J):Y=Y+1/Y
2280 z=E(N)*E(J)
2280 z=E(N)*E(J)
2290 R(K)=E(M-J) \#(1/Z-Z)/Y:S(K)=-X/Y
2290 R(K)=E(M-J) \#(1/Z-Z)/Y:S(K)=-X/Y
2300 PRINT"RE=";R(K);"SE=";S(K)
2300 PRINT"RE=";R(K);"SE=";S(K)
2310 R(M-K+1)=R(K)
2310 R(M-K+1)=R(K)
2320S(M-K+1)=-S(K): NEXT
2320S(M-K+1)=-S(K): NEXT
2330 IF K+K=M GOTOSO1O
2330 IF K+K=M GOTOSO1O
2340 R(K+1)=-AO:S(K+1)=0
2340 R(K+1)=-AO:S(K+1)=0
2350 PRINT"RE=";-AD
2350 PRINT"RE=";-AD
2350 PRINT "RE=";-AD THEN IT=1
2350 PRINT "RE=";-AD THEN IT=1
3020 E日=-E(1):IF T*="A" THEN EE=E(N)
3020 E日=-E(1):IF T*="A" THEN EE=E(N)
3020 E日=-E(1):IF T$="A" THEN EQ=E(N)
3020 E日=-E(1):IF T$="A" THEN EQ=E(N)
3040 FP=SRR ( (E (N)+EO)/(1+E(N) \#EG)),
3040 FP=SRR ( (E (N)+EO)/(1+E(N) \#EG)),
3050 FS=SQR((1+E(N)*EO)/(E (N)+EB))
3050 FS=SQR((1+E(N)*EO)/(E (N)+EB))
3060 D(1)=0
3060 D(1)=0
3065 FOR J=1TTOM
3065 FOR J=1TTOM
3070 D(J)=(E(2*J-1)+E8)/(1+E(2*J-1) *EO)
3070 D(J)=(E(2*J-1)+E8)/(1+E(2*J-1) *EO)
3OBO F(J)=SQR(1/D(J)): NEXT J

```
3OBO F(J)=SQR(1/D(J)): NEXT J
```




```
3110 FOR J=1TOM
```

3110 FOR J=1TOM
3120W=(AO^2+E(2*J-1)^2)/(1+(AO*E(2*J-1))^2)
3120W=(AO^2+E(2*J-1)^2)/(1+(AO*E(2*J-1))^2)
3130 X={1+EO*EB)*S(J)+EO+E8*W
3130 X={1+EO*EB)*S(J)+EO+E8*W
3140 Y=EO^2+2*EO*S(J)+W
3140 Y=EO^2+2*EO*S(J)+W
3150 Z=1+2*E8*S(J)+E8^2*W
3150 Z=1+2*E8*S(J)+E8^2*W
3160 U=SQR(Y/Z):V=x/Z
3160 U=SQR(Y/Z):V=x/Z
3170 R(J)=S\&R((U-V)/2):S(J)=SQR((U+V)/2)
3170 R(J)=S\&R((U-V)/2):S(J)=SQR((U+V)/2)
lol
lol
3200 SR=SR+R(J)/U
3200 SR=SR+R(J)/U
3210 I=-I:W=I*R(J)/S(J)
3210 I=-I:W=I*R(J)/S(J)
3220 TQ=(TQ+W)/(1-TQ\#W)
3220 TQ=(TQ+W)/(1-TQ\#W)
3240 U={F(2)-S(J))/R(J):V=(F(2)+S(J))/R(J)
3240 U={F(2)-S(J))/R(J):V=(F(2)+S(J))/R(J)
3250 W=I*(v-u)/(1+U*v)
3100 SR=O:TRMM
3100 SR=O:TRMM
160 U=SQR(Y/Z):V=X/Z

```
160 U=SQR(Y/Z):V=X/Z
```

Figure 107 Antimetric（even－ordered）elliptic filter design program from［3］translated from［11］

```
10 PRINT"SYMMETRICAL ELLIPTIC FLTR,C&S12/7B,1009"
1010 DIM B(16),C(16),D(16),E(15),F(30)
1020 DN=LOG (10)/10:PI=3.1415926
2010 PRINT"STBND EDGE (KHZ)=";:INPUT FS
2020 PRINT"PSBND EDGE (KHZ)="; ; INPUT FP
2030 IF ABS(FS-FP) <=0 EOTO2010
2040 PRINT"NUMBER DF PEAKS(1-15)=";:INPUT N
2050 IF N<=O GOTO2010
2060 M=2*N+1
2080 FC=SQR(FS*FP)
2090 R=FC+FC
2100 FOR K=1TOZ
2110 S=FS+FP
2120 FDR J=1TOG
2130 P=SQR(S*R)
2140 S=(S+R)/2
2150 IF1EG* (S-P)<S GOTO2170
2160 R=P:NEXT J
2170 IF K>=2 GOTO2200
2180 Q=M/S
2190 R=ABS (FS-FP) : NEXT K
2200 Q=0%S
2210 S=EXP(-PI/Q)
2220 Y=S
2230 PRINT"CRITICAL. Q=";Q/(4*(1-S)*S^N)
2250 PRINT"STBND REJECTION (DB)=";:INPUT S
2260 IF S<=O GOTO2010
2270 S=EXP (S*DN/2)
2280 R=EXP(PI *⿴囗
2290 P=(LOG (1+(S*S-1)/(R/4+1/R)^2))/DN
2300 PRINT"PSBND RIPPLE (DB)=";F
2310 R=R/(2#(S+SQR(S#S-1)))
2320 R=LOG(R+SQR(R#R+1))/(2*Q)
2330 R=SIN(R)/COS (R)
2340 W=R
2340 W=R
2350 PRINT"3 DB (KHZ) ABOUT =";FP+(FS-FP)/(1+FC/(FP#R*R))
2360 PRINT"NOMINAL OHMS RESISTANCE="; : INPUTR
2370 IF R<=O FSOTO2O4O
230 Z=Y:E(N)=W:W=W*W
2400 FOR J=1 TOM-1
2410 F(J)=1:NEXT J
2420 K=1
2430 FOR J=1TO1024
2440 F(K)=F(K)*(1-Z)/(1+Z)
2450 IF K<M-1 G0TO2500
2460 Z=Z*Y
2470 x=((1-Z)/(1+Z))^2
2480 E(N)=E(N)*(W+X)/(1+W#X)
2490 K=0
2500 Z=Z#Y
2510 IF Z<.25E-18 G0TO2530
2520 K=K+1:NEXT
2530 FOR J=1TON
2540 F(J)=F(J)&F(M-J)
2550 F(M-J)=F(J):NEXT J
3010 FOR J=1TON
3020 D(J)=F(2*J): (1-F(J)~4)/F(J)
3030 B(J)=E (N)&F(J):NEXT J
3040 C(1)=1/B(N)
3040 C(1)=1/B(N)
3060 C(J+1)=(C(J)-B(N-J))/(1+C(J)*B(N-J))
3070 E (N-J)=E(N+1-J)+E (N) &D(J)/(1+B(J) &B(J)):NEXT J
4010 FOR J=1 TON
4020 B(J)=((1+C(J) &C(J)) &E(J)/D(J)-C(J)/F(J))/2
4030 C(J)=C(J) &F(J)
4040 D(J)=F(J) #F (J):NEXT J
4050 B(N+1)=B(N):C(N+1)=C(N):D(N+1)=D(N)
5010 IF N=1 GOTO6020
```

Figure 108 Symmetric (odd-ordered) elliptic filter design program from [3] translated from [11]

### 17.1 Antimetric Program Details

Most of the program steps are carried out assuming a passband frequency edge of $\omega_{p}$ and stopband frequency edge $\omega_{s}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{s}=\frac{1}{\omega_{p}} \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once the pertinent results have been computed, they are finally output based upon a passband edge of 1 rad/sec.

The input parameters for the program are (i) passband ripple $A_{p}(\mathrm{~dB})$, (ii) minimum stopband attenuation $A_{s}(\mathrm{~dB})$, (iii) filter-order ( $N$ ) divided by 2, and (iv) filter type $a, b$, or $c$. The filter order must be an even integer for the antimetric case.

### 17.1.1 Program Variables

| Variable Name | Definition / Meaning |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\omega_{s}$ | Stopband radian frequency, initially such that (9.8) applies |
| $\omega_{p}$ | Passband radian frequency edge, initially such that (9.8) applies |
| $A_{s}$ | Minimum stopband attenuation, dB |
| $A_{p}$ | Maximum passband ripple, dB |
| $M$ | Filter order $(N)$ divided by 2. Also equal to the number or resonator-sections in the <br> filter |
| $N$ | Filter order, must be even, $N=2 M$ |
| $E_{p}$ | $E_{p}=10^{\left(A_{p} / 10\right)}-1$ Passband ripple |
| $E_{s}$ | $E_{s}=10^{\left(A_{s} / 10\right)}-1$ Pertains to the stopband level |
| $W$ | z-plane solution in the g()-plane per (9.13) |
| $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | Mapping of the z-plane solution to the s-plane domain per (9.42) |
| $E_{r}$ | Represent different quantities in the program. Initially, the frequency-domain <br> solutions for an $N / 2$ filter, later transformed to the natural frequencies for an $N^{\text {th }}$ <br> order filter, and finally transformed for an $N^{t h}$-order type a, $b$, or $c$ elliptic filter. |
| $u$ | Real part of Amstutz's elliptic function period, related to the complete elliptic integral <br> $K$ through (9.49), and closely estimated by (9.11) |

Program lines 20 through 2020 take care of the input parameters to the program. The first real computation takes place in the next two lines where

$$
\begin{gather*}
v=\sqrt{\frac{E_{s}}{E_{p}}}+\sqrt{\frac{E_{s}}{E_{p}}-1}  \tag{9.9}\\
u=\frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \log _{e}(2 v)}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{\log _{e}\left(4 v^{2}\right)} \tag{9.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Parameter $u$ is one of the more important parameters in that $2 u$ is the real-period of Amstutz's elliptic sine function $S n()$ as discussed shortly. Comparing this to the theory developed earlier in §10.7.3, the realperiod of the Jacobi elliptic sine function $s n()$ is $4 K$ where $K$ is the associated complete elliptic integral. In Amstutz (4.32), he defines

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =\frac{\pi^{2}}{\log _{e}\left\{16\left[\frac{\exp \left(2 a_{s}\right)-1}{\exp \left(2 a_{p}\right)-1}\right]\right\}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{\log _{e}\left\{16\left[\frac{10^{A_{s} / 10}-1}{10^{A_{p} / 10}-1}\right]\right\}}  \tag{9.11}\\
& =\frac{\pi^{2}}{\log _{e}\left(16 \frac{E_{s}}{E_{p}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

which is consistent with Amstutz (4.30) and (4.31) but not exactly equivalent to (9.10). The difference compared to (9.10) is completely negligible for all practical cases, however. The parameter $u$ versus stopband attenuation $A_{\text {stop }}$ is plotted in Figure 109 assuming a passband ripple of 0.1 dB . Looking ahead to the discussion involving (9.43), Amstutz apparently realized that the slight modification in (9.9) compared to (9.11) was a simple but effective improvement in the approximation and this improvement is included in his program although not mentioned in his paper.


Figure 109 Astutz's $u$ parameter versus stopband attenuation assuming $A_{p}=0.1 \mathrm{~dB}$
Following the calculation in (9.10), the program calculates a new value for $v$ in line 2050 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{v}{\sqrt{E_{s}}+\sqrt{E_{s}+1}}=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{E_{s}}{E_{p}}}+\sqrt{\frac{E_{s}}{E_{p}}-1}}{\sqrt{E_{s}}+\sqrt{E_{s}+1}} \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here again, Amstutz apparently uses this form for $v$ to calculate $w$ in line 2060 of his program ${ }^{78}$ with improved accuracy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{v}{\pi} \log _{e}\left(v+\sqrt{v^{2}+1}\right) \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^49]which can be also be rewritten as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{v}{\pi} \sinh ^{-1}(v) \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The exact calculation for $w$ is taken up in $\S 17.4$. In order to see the underlying details more clearly, some time must first be spent with the Amstutz elliptic sine function.

### 17.2 Amstutz Elliptic Sine Function Sn()

Amstutz cleverly devised his own elliptic function invention which is admittedly more convenient and computationally efficient than using the Jacobi elliptic functions, but this does complicate matters when this theory must be compared with the more traditional literature. As noted in §10.7.3, the Jacobi elliptic sine function $s n(z, k)$ has a real-period of $4 K$ and an imaginary period of $2 K^{\prime}$ where $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are the complete elliptic integral and complimentary complete elliptic integral respectively. The elliptic sine function used by Amstutz also has a real and imaginary period, but they are somewhat more convenient in that the real period is $2 u$ and the imaginary period is $j \pi$. The Amstutz elliptic sine function is given as ${ }^{79}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sn}(u, z)=\tanh (z) \prod_{r=1}^{\infty}[\tanh (r u-z) \tanh (r u+z)] \tag{9.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The construction of this function is worth looking at more closely. Note that the zeros for this function occur for

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tanh (r u-z)=0  \tag{9.16}\\
& \tanh (r u+z)=0
\end{align*}
$$

or in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{z e r o}=r u+j n \pi \text { for all integers } r, n \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poles occur for

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cosh (r u+z)=0 \\
& \cosh (r u-z)=0 \tag{9.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the top equation of the two,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh (r u+z)=\frac{\exp (r u+z)+\exp (-r u-z)}{2}=0 \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1=\exp [ \pm j(2 n-1) \pi]=\exp [-2(r u+z)] \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking natural logs of both sides and collecting terms reveals the poles given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\text {pole }}=-r u \pm j\left(\frac{2 n-1}{2}\right) \pi \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary integers $r$ and $n$. The poles and zeros periodicities are consequently as stated earlier.

[^50]A second condition on (9.15) for it to be an acceptable elliptic function is for it to have the correct value when $z=u / 2+j \pi / 4$. This is a special value of $z$ in that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sn}\left(u, \frac{u}{2} \pm j \frac{\pi}{4}\right)= \pm 1 \tag{9.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this more clearly, it is best to view (9.15) in terms of magnitude and phase. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\tanh \left(v+j \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right|^{2} & =\left|\frac{\sinh (v) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+j \cosh (v) \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{\cosh (v) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+j \sinh (v) \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}\right|^{2}  \tag{9.23}\\
& =\frac{\sinh ^{2}(v)+\cosh ^{2}(v)}{\cosh ^{2}(v)+\sinh ^{2}(v)} \equiv 1
\end{align*}
$$

for any real value of $v$. Consequently, the magnitude of every $\tanh ()$ term in (9.15) is unity for this special value of $z$.

The angular argument for each $\tanh ()$ term for this special value of $z$ is more complicated. To begin with, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh (a+j b)=\frac{e^{a} e^{j b}-e^{-a} e^{-j b}}{e^{a} e^{j b}-e^{-a} e^{-j b}}=\frac{1-\exp (-2 a-j 2 b)}{1+\exp (-2 a-j 2 b)} \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case where $b=\pi / 4$, (9.24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh \left(a+j \frac{\pi}{4}\right)=\frac{1+j \exp (-2 a)}{1-j \exp (-2 a)} \tag{9.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the phase argument for this quantity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\measuredangle \tanh \left(a+j \frac{\pi}{4}\right)=2 \tan ^{-1}[\exp (-2 a)] \tag{9.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result can be used to compute the phase argument for the product terms in (9.15) as follows. For a specific value of $r$ and the special value case of $z$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r u+z=\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right) u+j \frac{\pi}{4} \\
& r u-z=\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right) u+j \frac{\pi}{4} \tag{9.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this result with (9.26) and inserting into (9.15) produces

$$
\begin{align*}
\measuredangle \prod_{r=1}^{\infty}[\tanh (r u+z) \tanh (r u-z)]= & 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \tan ^{-1}\{\exp [-(2 r+1) u]\}-\ldots  \tag{9.28}\\
& 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \tan ^{-1}\{\exp [-(2 r-1) u]\}
\end{align*}
$$

At first glance, this results is still fairly complicated, but writing out the first few terms gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& =2\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\tan ^{-1}\left(e^{-3 u}\right)+\tan ^{-1}\left(e^{-5 u}\right)+\tan ^{-1}\left(e^{-7 u}\right)+\ldots \\
-\tan ^{-1}\left(e^{-u}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(e^{-3 u}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(e^{-5 u}\right)-\ldots
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{9.29}\\
& =-2 \tan ^{-1}\left(e^{-u}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This is precisely the negative of (9.26) when $a=u / 2$ thereby proving the zero-phase assertion given by (9.22) for this special value for $z$. Further as given by Amstutz (4.2), $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{)}$ mirrors other characteristics of the Jacobi elliptic sine function $s n()$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Sn}(u, z+u)=-\operatorname{Sn}(u, z) \\
& \operatorname{Sn}\left(u, z+j \frac{\pi}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Sn}(u, z)}  \tag{9.30}\\
& \operatorname{Sn}\left(u, \frac{u}{2} \pm j \frac{\pi}{4}\right)= \pm 1  \tag{9.31}\\
& \operatorname{Sn}\left(u, \pm j \frac{\pi}{4}\right)= \pm j
\end{align*}
$$

As pointed out here and elaborated in [12], there is a direct relationship between the Jacobi elliptic sine function $s n(z, k)$ and the Amstutz elliptic sine function $S n(u, z)$. The filter's natural frequencies which are given by Amstutz (4.19) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=\operatorname{Sn}\left(N u, \frac{n}{N} \frac{N u}{2}\right)=\sqrt{k} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{n K}{N}, k\right) \text { for } n=1, \ldots, N \tag{9.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 17.3 Amstutz Transducer Gain Function and Exact Value for u

Throughout the Amstutz paper, the frequency variable $\omega$ is normalized so that the passband frequency edge $\omega_{p}$ and stopband frequency edge $\omega_{s}$ are related as $\omega_{p} \omega_{s}=1$. He writes the attenuation characteristic as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp [2 a(\omega)]=1+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} g^{2}(\omega) \tag{9.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which precisely parallels the Feldtkeller equation given earlier by (2.15). In the elliptic filter case,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(\omega)=\operatorname{Sn}(u, z)=\sin (z) \\
& \omega=\operatorname{Sn}(m u, z)=\sin \left(\frac{z}{m}\right) \tag{9.34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is the order of the elliptic filter being considered. The first equation is the mapping between the $g$-plane and the z-plane whereas the second corresponds to the mapping between the $s$-plane and the $z$ plane. The maximum passband attenuation $a_{p}$ (nats) corresponds to $z=u / 2$ thereby leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(2 a_{p}\right)=1+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} g^{2}\left(\omega_{p}\right) \tag{9.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the minimum stopband attenuation $a_{s}$ (nats) occurs for $z=u / 2+j \pi / 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(2 a_{s}\right)=1+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} g^{2}\left(\omega_{s}\right) \tag{9.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9.35) and (9.36),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\exp \left(2 a_{p}\right)-1}{\exp \left(2 a_{s}\right)-1} & =\frac{g^{2}\left(\omega_{p}\right)}{g^{2}\left(\omega_{s}\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{Sn}^{2}\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)}{\operatorname{Sn}^{2}\left(\frac{u}{2}+j \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}  \tag{9.37}\\
& ={S n^{4}\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality makes use of (9.30). To facilitate using this result, Amstutz (4.13) defines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=S n\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) \tag{9.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based upon (9.34) and the passband edge corresponding to $z=u / 2$, Amstutz (4.15) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}=\frac{1}{\omega_{s}}=\operatorname{Sn}\left(m u, m \frac{u}{2}\right) \tag{9.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

thereby leading to ${ }^{80}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega_{s}}=\operatorname{Sn}^{2}\left(m u, m \frac{u}{2}\right) \tag{9.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worthwhile to point out the symmetries between the square-root of (9.37) which applies to the amplitude domain ( $g$ ) and (9.40) which applies to the frequency domain ( $\omega$ ); the only mapping difference in the $z$-domain is the filter order factor $m$. This scaling factor appears repeatedly between the amplitude and frequency domains for elliptic filters.

In solving for the natural frequencies of the filter, Amstutz (4.27) and (4.28) are identified as

$$
\begin{gather*}
j \sigma=\operatorname{Sn}(u, j w)  \tag{9.41}\\
j a_{0}=\operatorname{Sn}(m u, j w) \tag{9.42}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^51]where (9.42) applies to the functional-mapping of $g()$ to the $z$-domain and (9.41) applies to the mapping of the z-plane to the s-plane domain. Amstutz (4.30) uses an approximation (9.10) to compute the filter shape-factor $u$ which is quite accurate whereas [12] goes a step further in giving the exact solution as ${ }^{81}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\pi \frac{A G M\left(k_{1}\right)}{A G M\left(\frac{1-k_{1}}{1+k_{1}}\right)}\left(1+k_{1}\right)^{-1} \tag{9.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $k_{1}$ is given by (6.6) and $A G M$ is the arithmetic-geometric mean first introduced in $\S 10.7 .1$. It is only when this exact result for $u$ is compared to Amstutz's approximation used in his program (9.10) and the approximation cited in his paper (9.11) that a complete vindication of (9.10) is possible as shown in Figure 110.

### 17.4 Calculation of $\boldsymbol{w}$

Given $u$ by way of (9.10), Amstutz (4.33) computes $w$ is his program using (9.12) and (9.13) whereas his paper uses the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{u}{2 \pi} \log _{e}\left[\frac{\exp \left(a_{p}\right)-1}{\exp \left(a_{p}\right)+1}\right] \tag{9.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can also be equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{u}{\pi} \sinh ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\exp \left(a_{p}\right)-1}\right) \tag{9.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^52]

Figure 110 Comparison of u-computation methods ${ }^{82}$. Exact value is given by (9.43), Amstutz program approximation given by (9.10), and Amstutz approximation in the paper given by (9.11). All of the methods give acceptably accurate results.

Reference [12] gives the exact solution for $w$ based upon a repeated application of the Landen transformation as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{0}=\left\{\left[\exp \left(a_{s}\right)-1\right]\left[\exp \left(a_{p}\right)-1\right]\right\}^{-1 / 4}, S N_{0}=\sqrt{k_{1}} \\
S N_{n+1}=\frac{S N_{n}^{2}}{1+\sqrt{1-S N_{n}^{4}}}  \tag{9.46}\\
V_{n}=\frac{1}{Q_{n} S N_{n}} \\
Q_{n+1}=\frac{1}{V_{n}+\sqrt{1+V_{n}^{2}}} \tag{9.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
w & =\frac{u}{\pi} \sinh ^{-1}(\alpha)  \tag{9.48}\\
& =\frac{u}{\pi} \log _{e}\left(\alpha+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha=\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} Q_{h} / S N_{h}$. The exact value for $w$ and Amstutz approximations given by (9.13) and (9.44) for $w$ are compared in Figure 111 showing the excellent behavior of the Amstutz approximation used in his program versus exact.
${ }^{82}$ From u18548_amstutz_equation_checks.m.


Figure 111 Comparison ${ }^{83}$ of estimates for $w$ based upon (i) Amstutz program code formula (9.13) and (ii) Amstutz (4.33) repeated here as (9.44). The exact value for $w$ was computed using (9.46) through (9.48).

From (9.32), there is a direct correlation between the Amstutz u-parameter and the classical elliptic sine period given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u}{2} \Leftrightarrow \frac{K}{N} \tag{9.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the complete elliptic integral associated with modulus $k$ and $N$ is the filter order. Using this equivalence in (9.44) leads directly to (6.30) aside from a factor of $-j$ implying that the approximate relationship used in Amstutz (4.30) is based upon the same reasoning used earlier in (6.28).

The program calculates the filter's natural frequencies in the z-domain in lines 2090-2230. The correlation between these lines and the Amstutz equations (4.17) and (4.18) is, however elusive for two major reasons. First of all, the Amstutz program makes use of a key statement which appears immediately above Amstutz (4.24A) which reads as follows:

It may be interesting to note that a type A characteristic of degree $2 m$ can be deduced in the same way from an elliptic characteristic of degree $m$ by the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}=\frac{\omega-E_{2 m}}{1-E_{2 m} \omega} \tag{9.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the Amstutz program calculates all of the z-plane natural frequencies assuming a $N / 2$ degree filter characteristic, and then translates these $E_{r}$ values to new $E_{r}$ values corresponding to a $N{ }^{\text {th }}$ order filter using (9.50). The second reason these program lines are difficult to follow in the code stems from the way in which each $\tanh ()$ product term is computed in (9.15). In line 2050, the $E_{k}$ calculation appears to only include the $\tanh (r u+z)$ product term while ignoring the $\tanh (r u-z)$ term in (9.15). This apparent discrepancy is adjusted for by (i) computing the $E_{r}$ values for $r=1,2, \ldots, N$, and (ii) by exploiting the periodicity of the $E_{r}$ solutions which comes from the inherent $2 u$ periodicity of the $S n()$ function in lines 2200-2230.

[^53]Program lines 2250 - 2350 translate the z-plane solution given by (9.13) for the natural frequencies into the equivalent $s$-plane natural frequencies using Amstutz (4.22) and (4.23). These results are adjusted further in program lines 3010-3030 depending on the filter type ( $a, b$, or $c$ ).

Up until this point in the program, all of the natural frequencies have been calculated for a $m=N /$ 2 order filter. Program lines $3010-3080$ use one of three frequency-transformation formulas (Amstutz (4.24A) through (4.24C)) to simultaneously compute $N$ natural frequencies from the $m$ and adjust these frequencies for a type-a, type-b, or type-c filter. At this point in the program, all of the s-plane natural frequencies have been computed for the $N^{t h}$ order filter. The filter passband and stopband frequency edges are computed in lines 3020 - 3050 .

The remaining program computations are still relatively complicated to unravel owing to the extreme tightness of the coding style used. Take for instance, Amstutz (3.4) which gives the input impedance at attenuation pole $p_{r}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{i n}\left(p_{r}\right) & =-j R_{\text {source }} \tan \left\{\sum_{n}\left[\frac{d_{r}^{n}}{2}\right]\right\} \text { for } \varepsilon=-1 \\
& =-j R_{\text {source }} \tan \left\{\sum_{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\arg \left(p_{r}-t_{n}\right)\right)\right]\right\} \tag{9.51}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $t_{n}$ are the transmission zeros from Amstutz (2.6). In program lines $4050-4080$, this is implemented quite differently by computing a recursive sum of angle arctangents based upon the trigonometric identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)=\frac{\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}}{1-\chi_{1} \chi_{2}} \tag{9.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{1}=\tan \left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $\chi_{2}=\tan \left(\theta_{2}\right)$. Although this unquestionably leads to better numerical precision and faster computation, it also makes the coding details considerably more difficult to follow with respect to the description given in the paper.
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